NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

IN RE:

THE EL FARO INCIDENT OFF : NTSB Accident No.

THE COAST OF THE BAHAMAS ON : DCA16MM001

OCTOBER 1, 2015

Interview of: CWO

Thursday, April 14, 2016

NTSB Headquarters Washington, DC

BEFORE:

BRIAN YOUNG, NTSB TOM ROTH-ROFFY, NTSB LCDR USCG LT. JAG Corps USCG* LCDR LOUIS O'DONNELL, ABS* LEE PETERSON, TOTE* JIM FISKER-ANDERSEN, TOTE*

* Participating via teleconference

This transcript was produced from audio provided by the National Transportation Safety Board.

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:27 a.m.)
3	MR. YOUNG: Okay, so the recorder is on. It
4	is April 14th, 2016. The time is 9:27, we're at NTSB
5	Headquarters in Washington, D.C.
6	We're conducting an interview of Coast Guard
7	Inspector Chief Warrant Officer My name
8	is Brian Young, I'm the Engineering Group Chairman.
9	I'm going to go around the room of the people present
10	here and introduce ourselves.
11	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Good morning. Tom Roth-
12	Roffy, NTSB.
13	LT. Coast Guard,
14	representing the Witness.
15	CWO WILLIAM WILLIAM U.S. Coast
16	Guard.
17	LCDR Lieutenant Commander
18	U.S. Coast Guard.
19	MR. YOUNG: On the line from the Coast
20	Guard.
21	LCDR This is Commander
22	I'm actually a member of the Operations
23	Group.
24	MR. YOUNG: From ABS.
25	MR. O'DONNELL: Louis O'Donnell, American
l	I

1	Bureau of Shipping.
2	MR. YOUNG: From TOTE.
3	MR. PETERSON: Lee Peterson, TOTE
4	coordinator.
5	MR. FISKER-ANDERSON: Jim Fisker-Anderson,
6	with the NTSB Engineering Group.
7	MR. YOUNG: That's great. That's everybody
8	on the line. And if you will, just acknowledge
9	that we are recording this interview.
10	CWO That's fine.
11	MR. YOUNG: Okay, great. Thanks. So I
12	appreciate you coming down today.
13	don't mind, maybe you could just start off with giving
14	us a little background on the training and experience
15	to become an inspector for the Coast Guard?
16	CWO Sure. I have 27 years and
17	some, coming up on 28 year's active duty. Been in
18	marine inspection since 2004.
19	I have, let's see, foreign freight, foreign
20	tank, foreign gas, foreign chemical, foreign passenger
21	vessel qualifications. Small passenger vessel, T-boat,
22	K-boat, barge and machinery quals. I think I covered
23	everything.
24	And multiple training relevant to marine
25	inspections. I got some certificates and dates, but I
	I

1 don't know if that's helpful or not. 2 MR. YOUNG: Okay. Just 27 years of Coast 3 Guard inspector of experience. Can you discuss, particularly any training, with regard to steam plants? 4 5 CWO Yes. Sure. Let's see. Well, I have my machinery qualification, which gets into 6 7 steam as far as an auxiliary, but not main propulsion. 8 Additionally, I was working on my steam 9 And I had logged these in my PQS for my steam qual. 10 qual, which was the Comfort. USNS Comfort. 11 We did fire and water side with 12 (phonetic), who's one of the travelers for the 13 Coast Guard. That was back in 2009. And we also did a 14 boiler hydro in there. 15 I attended an annual on the Chemical Pioneer 16 I was on the Pollux for a with in 2011. 17 boiler hydro. They were doing some repairs in 2011. 18 In 2012, in San Juan, we had a major casualty on the Horizon, Navigator. 19 I was part of that 20 as a followup. We did periodic safety test procedures 2.1 on that over the course of a couple days. 22 We also did sea trials on that vessel. This 23 was all early January 2013. We did a damage survey on 24 the Horizon, Navigator's boiler and then some 25 subsequent repairs. That was April of 2013.

1 And the El Yunque, and I just logged, I 2 don't really recall, but we did periodic safety test 3 procedures on the El Yunque in April of 2013 as well. That's just what I've logged. I know I've 4 5 done more than that. I know I was involved in some 6 boiler repairs on the Chemical Pioneer and lots of 7 machinery inspections. 8 We did the Cape May-Lewes Ferries. They had 9 five of them. At the time we were on their quarterly. 10 Didn't have propulsion boilers, but they did have 11 auxiliary, heating boilers. Which we regularly 12 inspected. 13 And we also had a T-boat, when in was in 14 Philadelphia in 2004 to 2012. Which actually was a 15 steam powered T-boat. With a reciprocating piston, 16 Which is unique. paddle wheel. 17 MR. YOUNG: Yes. 18 So not all the experience in CWO 19 the world, but I've seen it. Touched it. 20 Additionally, as far as training goes, I've 2.1 been to the SUNY course diesel steam automation course. 22 The Coast Guard, part of the steam qual was 23 a online course for diesel steam machinery inspector. Which I completed in October of 2012. 24 25 And I took an online course of ASME. It's

1 B31.1, so it's pressure piping. That was April of 2 2014. 3 And in 2000, I don't know. It was probably 4 -- oh, I'm sorry, I know. It was the summer of 2012 I 5 took a thermo one. College level. 6 Prior to that, subsequent -- I mean I just 7 got done taking thermo and heat transfer lab. And that 8 was not before this, but that's pretty much the 9 relevant stuff, I think, prior to. 10 MR. YOUNG: And it was PQSs you were 11 discussing? 12 PQS is, I was pursuing CWO Okay. 13 In addition to this, there is a PQS. my steam qual. Ι 14 gave it to our MITO to sign off for me. 15 MR. YOUNG: Can you say what a MITO is? 16 CWO Yes. Marine Inspection 17 Training Officer. 18 Two items that are kind of no-brainers, and 19 that was taking the online course and also completing 20 the machinery course. Completing the machinery qual, 2.1 which I had. And it never got signed off. It was in 22 the black hole of papers. So after about a month I 23 took it back. 24 And I also pursued, in the Great Lakes they 25 do spring breakouts. And I contacted a friend of mine

1 who is stationed there, talked about doing breakouts. 2 He said, sure, come on up. Presented that to the 3 command and they told me they couldn't fund it. But they did say that they would set up a 4 5 ride on one of the TOTE vessels. And the CID sent that 6 to the marine inspection trainer. The chief of 7 inspections division sent that to the Marines, by 8 email, and I don't have a copy of it anymore. 9 the marine inspections training officer to set that up 10 and it never happened. 11 MR. YOUNG: So if I understand properly, to 12 get your steam qualification, you need the machinery 13 qual plus you need online courses? 14 Well it's two separate qual 15 packages. I don't have it with me, but I can get you a 16 But it's a PQS and its certain things you copy of it. 17 have to do. 18 I believe, I'd have to look, but I went 19 through everything. The only thing I was lacking was 20 setting the safeties. Which I was supposed to go up to 2.1

This was back in, I think late 2011, early New York. 2012 I think. And on the SUNY training vessel. State I believe.

Had a schedule to go up there, and they were going to set the safeties. And I also had a conflict

22

23

24

inspection where I was going to do new construction of
pressure vessels. For Anne hydro (indiscernible)
barge. And the two days conflicted and I had to go
through the pressure vessels so I didn't make it to the
safeties.

But otherwise, I'm pretty sure I've actually

But otherwise, I'm pretty sure I've actually done everything that's on the PQS. Just haven't had anybody there to sign everything off.

MR. YOUNG: And typically during a PQS you would have, would there be a, say a superior or somebody more experienced than yourself signing off on these --

CWO Yes. There should be a verifying officer who signs off the PQS items. I did sit on some of the MITO, Marine Inspection Training Officer, phone conferences they used to have monthly.

And I know there was talk, and our MITO as well, where they were talking about not, I don't want to say anything incorrectly, but I believe the gist of the conversation was that there's not enough steam vessels left. And they're obviously not going to be around for, nobody really knows how long, but there's some phase out dates coming.

And that some of the -- that they may not issue any quals. I think it was, in the MITOs,

	collectively, or at least their spokesperson's opinion,
2	that we won't issue steam quals because steam is kind
3	of on the way out.
4	MR. YOUNG: Understood.
5	CWO Yes. That's how I took the
6	conversation. What I heard of it.
7	But with that being said, I know there are
8	plenty of people going to these breakouts, talking to
9	my friend. But there is really, I don't know that
10	there is any rhyme or reason to who was going. It was
11	whoever, I guess, could come up with the money. And
12	San Juan didn't have the money.
13	MR. YOUNG: And if you don't mind, just
14	you've referred to PQS and the periodic safety test
15	procedures
16	CWO Correct.
17	MR. YOUNG: as another, say inspection
18	activity.
19	CWO Yes.
20	MR. YOUNG: Can you just describe what is
21	involved with a periodic safety test procedure onboard
22	a steam ship? Generically.
23	CWO III II would depend. I went
24	through the it really depends on the vessel. I've
25	been through them, on some ships.
ı	I

1 I was involved with a lot of new 2 construction at AKUR (phonetic). Where it was several 3 days of going through, pulling wires, electronics. I've seen periodic safety test procedures on 4 5 some boats where there is maybe a handful of six items, 6 maybe, on their whole test procedure. 7 Probably most recent would be the Horizon, I 8 think it was the Navigator. Navigator or Trader, I 9 don't recall which one. We went through, I think it may have been 10 11 like three pages. It was basically testing the alarms. 12 Like low water, high level, flame failure, things like 13 that. 14 MR. YOUNG: So would typically, would the 15 vessel give you a test procedure that they maybe, or 16 they pretested before your arrival, and then you would 17 refer to that? 18 Ideally that's how it would go. CWO 19 That's assuming we're doing that now. That would be 20 for a full on inspection. If it's ACP then that's not 2.1 necessarily going to be part of the inspection. 22 MR. YOUNG: Okay. 23 The only reason we did it on 24 the Navigator or Trader, and I don't, let me just make 25 sure I got the right one. Navigator.

1 The only reason we did it on the Navigator 2 was because they had a major casualty. They lost their 3 turbine. I don't know if you're familiar with it, I 4 5 can go into details that I know of it, but --6 MR. YOUNG: I think we're all set with that. 7 So anyway, through the course CWO 8 of the, I quess the damage survey, we found out that 9 their periodic safety test procedures predated their 10 automation system. So that's why we ended up going 11 through the whole series of test. 12 MR. YOUNG: That's on the Horizon boat 13 though, right? 14 Correct, that was on Horizon. CWO 15 And at the same time, our two main steam ships, or 16 really the two we had, were SeaStar and Horizon. 17 So we had talked with ABS to make, and they 18 went through to make sure everything was correct on 19 Horizon, and they also told us that they had checked 20 And that everything was fine on there. 21 Okay. So just before we move on MR. YOUNG: 22 to maybe specifically the inspection on El Faro, I'm 23 just going to go around the room and the phone to see 24 if anyone else had any further questions about training 25 and qualifications. So we'll just go around, start in

1 the room, and then we'll go to phone. Tom? 2 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Yes, thank you, Brian. 3 Roth-Roffy, NTSB. Just a followup on the topics that 4 Brian has already mentioned. 5 You mentioned the El Yunque, PSTP. 6 Yes, sir. CWO 7 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Have you already discussed 8 that? What did you do there? You mentioned the 9 Horizon, Navigator, the reason why you didn't PSTP. 10 Oh, yes. Right. CWO I don't recall what we did. I honestly don't remember it. 11 12 do recall, the one thing I do recall was, one of the 13 inspections we did, it may have been the, I believe it 14 was the El Faro, but I can't be for sure, was 15 said, when you're, he was the lead, and he said, when 16 you go down in the engine room, do one periodic safety 17 test procedure. 18 And I believe that was on the El Faro. 19 don't recall what we did. I didn't go back and look in 20 MISLE. 21 I don't know what the extent of what we --22 we may have tested a few items. I think it was 23 probably falloff from the Horizon and just following up 24 to make sure ABS went through all that. I don't 25 remember to be quite perfectly clear.

1	LT. [1] (Indiscernible) five?
2	CWO No, it's plural.
3	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: All right. Now do you
4	recall if the two SeaStar vessels had their own PSTP
5	and how well that was represented, with the equipment
6	onboard?
7	CWO III III I don't know that we checked it
8	when we did the El Faro, in addition to doing the
9	tests. And I think when we did the El Yunque, the last
LO	time, we had checked on it.
11	They didn't have the paperwork available,
12	but assured me it was done. And that ABS had it. The
13	paperwork was actually back in the home office. That
14	it was completed.
15	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. Could you describe
16	in more detail, I believe you said the ACP is not
17	necessary to do with ACP you don't necessarily do
18	PSTP, please describe how that works?
19	CWO Okay. So with ACP exams, or
20	inspections, according to the policy, the scope of ACP
21	exam is the same as what we would do on a port state
22	control vessel.
23	So it's pretty much an abbreviated check.
24	Walkthrough the engine room, there's certain things we
25	check, which I can go into detail. I don't know when
	•

1 you want me to do that. 2 But without going into testing the things 3 that we would normally test. If it were in fact the 4 full blown non-ECP inspected vessel. 5 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: So I think we'd like Yes. 6 to cover that in detail. 7 MR. YOUNG: Absolutely. 8 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Brian has probably some 9 questions in that area. 10 MR. YOUNG: Yes. 11 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: You mentioned that you 12 believe, or you think, you've completed everything on 13 the PQS and you have submitted your package for 14 approval and was not approved. 15 CWO Yes. 16 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Are you able, now at this 17 time, to resubmit your package and get your steam qual 18 or not? 19 Well I only submitted it to CWO 20 sign -- I had just asked him to sign off. So let me 2.1 So this lists everything that's in the show you. 22 course. I should have brought, if I would have known, 23 I would have brought it. But I can get it to you. 24 So these are all the items. It's completed, 25 completed, completed. And the course, and passed.

1	And I had just asked him to at least sign
2	that off. And then other part of it is, one of the
3	sign offs is to have a machinery designation. Which I
4	handed both of those with the package. Just asking
5	them to sign those two items off.
6	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: And they just
7	CWO I don't know. I mean I don't -
8	- there you go.
9	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Thank you. This is kind of
10	what your package would have looked like, right?
11	CWO Yes, it would have had that in
12	it. And just asking him to sign those two items off.
13	Not necessarily had to sign the whole entire
14	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay.
15	CWO And some of the things that he
16	was with me when I did on the Horizon.
17	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. So your role in,
18	inspecting respecting steam vessels, was under
19	instruction, would that be correct?
20	Because you were not actually a certified or
21	qualified steam inspector?
22	CWO No. No.
23	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Explain that.
24	CWO Okay. So with ACP, there's no
25	policy that says what the inspection team should look

1 like. I've done ACP exams, or know of ACP exams, that 2 were done where there was just an MSD. One of our port 3 state control examiners. Maybe a marine inspector and two examiners onboard. 4 5 So the scope of what we're looking at is 6 much more limited than would be necessarily a full exam 7 that's non-ACP. I was going to go somewhere with that 8 and I forgot what it was. 9 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Well I think you're getting in an area that I'm interested in. 10 11 CWO Right. 12 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Is to do an ACP exam --13 Right. CWO 14 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: -- do you require any 15 qualifications in engineering or steam inspection? 16 There's no policy that CWO No. 17 states what the inspection team needs to look like, 18 other than policy that says, the scope of it is that of 19 a port state control exam. 20 If you go through the 840 book, all the line 21 items are solus (phonetic). Because with ACP, we 22 pretty much take, in this case, Subchapter I, 23 Subchapter F, Subchapter J, Subchapter W, thrown them aside and we have solus class rules, which we really 24 25 don't have any in depth training, other than what you

1 need for machinery qual, in this case. And the 2 supplement. And that's what's there. 3 So essentially what you're looking at is 4 some solus type items. If that helps. 5 And so you don't normally MR. ROTH-ROFFY: 6 do any real detailed examination of this propulsion 7 systems and other machinery --8 Yes, sir. No more than you CWO 9 would do on a port state control exam. 10 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. And again, I think 11 we need to kind of dig in with you on what those 12 differences are. Have you had any ABS training in 13 Anything offered by ABS that would have steam systems? 14 been relevant to --15 CWO I'm not aware of anything that 16 I have, probably the closest thing I would ABS has. 17 have is there's a USNS, I think Joshua Humphreys, that 18 was titled ACP light, but it was really non-ACP full 19 Coast Guard exam. I worked hand-in-hand with a ABS 20 surveyor. 2.1 Although that was not steam, they did have 22 boilers and we went through the testing, and whatnot, 23 for the large auxiliary boilers. That's probably the closest I've been is working with ABS surveyors in the 24

field.

1	But I'm not aware that, I mean I try and dig
2	into things. I'm not aware that ABS has any courses or
3	anything. That's offered openly.
4	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay, I think that's all I
5	have. Thank you, sir.
6	CWO Yes, sir.
7	LCDR Lieutenant Commander
8	Coast Guard. So just to make sure I heard
9	you right, you said you were machinery inspector diesel
10	qualified, but not machinery inspector steam?
11	CWO That's correct.
12	LCDR Okay. Was there someone
13	at Sector San Juan, when you were stationed there, that
14	had the machinery inspector steam qualification?
15	CWO Yes, sir. Marine Inspection
16	Training Office Civilian.
17	LCDR
18	CWO He was steam qualified, as far
19	as I know.
20	LCDR When you did vessels, like
21	the El Faro, that had the steam propulsion plant, would
22	and yourself typically take the lead on those
23	exams?
24	CWO Report all the U.S. deep-draft
25	that came through, they would go through He
I	I

would schedule and setup all that.

And he would, as soon as they would call him, he would always ask me what I'm doing on a certain date. And I think every time, expect for once, I told him I probably didn't have anything scheduled or maybe I had something scheduled and I could do it the following day or day before. But then he'd say, okay, so and so called up and they wanted to do an ACP inspection that day or whatever. And that's kind of how it would go.

So it would be him and I and then whoever else would come along. And I think in every, well, I don't want to say every occasion, but at least him and I.

LCDR Okay. When you inspected vessels, like the El Faro or El Yunque with would you alternate who takes the lead between a hull side and machinery side?

CWO We did. He would typically ask me what I wanted to do. My background is machinery so I'd typically, would choose the machinery. I don't have a hull qual, but again, the scope is essentially I do have a foreign freight qual so it wouldn't be any different as far as the inspection examination.

On at least one occasion, that I know of, I

did do the top side. But I would prefer, felt more 1 2 comfortable to do the engine room. 3 You mentioned that on ACP LCDR exams, in your opinion, there's not a specific 4 5 requirement to have a hull and machinery inspector 6 because of its limited scope. Could you mention what 7 the intent of your team assignment, typically in San 8 Juan was, or would you try to have a hull and machinery 9 steam qualified person go out? would get 10 Like I said, CWO 11 the call, would ask me and we'd go. And I think 12 prior to my arrival, there was another inspector there 13 and they would do the same thing. 14 LCDR Okay. 15 CWO And I'm sure it's the same way 16 now. 17 Was there ever discussion LCDR 18 between yourself and or anyone else at Sector 19 San Juan, about asking for assistance from outside the 20 unit if to supplement a machinery steam qualified or 21 extra hull personnel, or anything like that, to help 22 with these exams? Maybe a traveling inspector. 23 CWO Between and I? 24 don't know that asked. I did not. I know I had 25 done that in the past, not necessarily because it was

1 ACP or steam, but because it was greater than 30 years 2 old. 3 I believe there's a policy in the Marine Safety Manual that says, anything, you know, inspect 4 5 greater than 30 years old you're supposed to notify the 6 traveling inspector. 7 I had asked one of the travelers, years ago, 8 about that. Because there's really no mechanism to do 9 There's, I don't know, no website to say, click, that. 10 have this inspection on this date, calendar appointment 11 or anything like that. 12 So he said, oh yes, that? Well, you could 13 And I'm sure they've transferred talk to so and so. 14 out since then. But no. That's, no, to answer your 15 question. No. 16 When doing some of these LCDR 17 inspections, as a machinery diesel qualified but not 18 yet machinery steam qualified inspector, did you ever 19 have any concerns that you might have to expand the 20 exam beyond the initial scope of ACP, due to problems 2.1 in the engine room? 22 CWO That never came up. Especially 23 with the SeaStar or TOTE ships. Horizon obviously, but 24 I mean that was a little different story. 25 Even if you, I believe if you look at the

840 for ACP, even the expanded exam doesn't get into
that sort of thing. I mean if we found serious issues,
you know, if I saw something that I felt I couldn't
handle, I definitely would have talked to who
had the steam qual. But that never came up.
Other than Horizon, which that was we
were really digging into that one.
LCDR At Sector San Juan, when
you stationed there, was it routine, when doing these
ACP exams, to stick to the portion of the ACP Coast
Guard 840 book that was not expanded?
Did you ever go into the expanded
examination items, as a regular practice?
CWO I'd have to look at it. I
don't think so. But TOTE, SeaStar was, we never had
problems with them.
I remember on the El Faro, we got into the
steering. But I think our normal inspection a lot of
the time, depending on what you consider expanded or
normal.
If you go to the IMO guidelines, our normal
port state control is expanded. But, I mean really
getting into the weeds with things, it never came up.
We definitely had problems in San Juan.
SeaStar wasn't a problem.

1	LCDR And one last general
2	question, before we move into the other topics. You
3	mentioned that the ACP exam is very similar to a port
4	state control exam. And in this case it's like a
5	foreign freight vessel exam.
6	CWO Yes.
7	LCDR Have you ever, side-by-
8	side, compared the ACP 840 freight book to the foreign
9	freight vessel examination scope, to see if they line
10	up?
11	CWO Not line item by line item. I
12	know there's differences, but essentially they're
13	pretty close.
14	LCDR Okay, thank you. That's
15	all I have.
16	MR. YOUNG: Great. We'll go to the phone
17	with the Coast Guard. you got any questions?
18	LCDR No further questions?
19	MR. YOUNG: ABS Louis?
20	MR. O'DONNELL: Yes. Louis O'Donnell, ABS.
21	A couple questions,
22	CWO Yes, sir.
23	MR. O'DONNELL: Or, I'm sorry.
24	CWO No, that's fine.
25	MR. O'DONNELL: You mentioned you went
I	I and the state of

1 through the PSTP on the El Faro. Did I hear that 2 correctly? 3 No, we did one test. CWO I can't 4 swear, but I believe that when we did the El Faro, and 5 it was over a year ago. I had trouble finding my car a 6 few minutes ago. 7 But I believe that had asked me, I 8 know for sure had asked me to do one PSTP on one 9 of the inspections we were on. I believe it was the El 10 Faro, I could be wrong, it could have been one of the 11 other ones. 12 MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. 13 We did several. We did several 14 exams, inspections, on U.S. deep-draft steam ACP. 15 for me to tell you exactly, I know exactly what we did 16 on which one a year later, I can't say that. 17 With the exception on El Faro, I have pretty 18 good recollection I tested the steering and I have a 19 pretty good recollection of issue we had with one of 20 the crewman. 21 Other than that, everything can kind of 22 blend together. And I can't say with a hundred percent 23 certainty, I'm thinking of the right day and the right 24 ship, the right events. 25 did ask me to test But I believe that

1	one PSTP. I vaguely recall that particular chief
2	engineer dropping the water level so we could do a high
3	water level trip. Or I'm sorry, low water level trip.
4	But as far as actually going through the PSTPs, no.
5	MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. Because I'm just
6	wondering because with El Faro, she didn't carry an
7	automation notation. Now, I was aware she had boiler
8	test procedures for all the boiler automation.
9	CWO Right.
10	MR. O'DONNELL: Yes, okay.
11	CWO Yes, and that's Right, we
12	would be
13	MR. O'DONNELL: That's what you went
14	through. So that's what you're figuring PSTP? Okay.
15	CWO Yes, correct. For boiler
16	automation. That's right.
17	MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. No further questions.
18	MR. YOUNG: From TOTE, Lee?
19	MR. PETERSON: No, nothing from us, Brian.
20	MR. YOUNG: Okay. And Jim's all set too?
21	MR. FISKER-ANDERSON: We're good. Thanks.
22	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
23	MR. FISKER-ANDERSON: Thanks,
24	CWO Yes, sir.
25	MR. YOUNG: So maybe we'll move on to the
	I

1 next area of the interview, specifically about the 2 inspection in March for the COI that you had 3 conducted. 4 CWO Okay. 5 To the best of your MR. YOUNG: 6 recollection, if you can maybe recall that inspection? 7 I know, like you said, it's a year ago. 8 Right. CWO 9 MR. YOUNG: Maybe just kind of describe, who 10 was there, about how long you spent onboard and maybe 11 if you can remember what was some of the functions that 12 were tested. 13 Sure. CWO 14 To the best of your knowledge. 15 CWO Sure. So I remember us going 16 And that's after I reviewed some of the MISLE 17 And it was a memory jogger for a few things. entries. 18 There's some things that I couldn't remember 19 if it was that vessel or not, and I was able to find 20 some notes between the MISLE narrative and some, I 2.1 actually had to pull some text messages out of my old 22 cell phone I was able to find. And can kind of give 23 you some times and idea about the conversation. 24 Like I said, there as two notable events. 25 One was -- well, I can tell you that it was myself and

1 as it was on all of the exams that, at least that 2 I was one. 3 And that one in particular, we also had the 4 Chief Inspection Department, which was Lieutenant 5 (phonetic). Commander And we also had the ACID, the Assistant 6 7 Chief of Inspections Division. And that was Lieutenant 8 Commander (phonetic). 9 And, you know, they're both very capable. Т had a hull qual as well. 10 believe Commander 11 had a master's degree in ocean engineer 12 from FIT. She had been in New Orleans. I believe it 13 was FIT. 14 She had been in New Orleans and Mobil. 15 she did not have an engineering machinery qual, but she 16 had just completed, I think a few days prior, her 17 foreign passenger vessel. She had foreign freight. 18 And she was a very good inspector. And she was with 19 me, that I recall, the entire time. 20 So with that being said, that was the I vaguely remember we did a hull walk. 21 makeup. 22 don't recall any particulars. 23 We did go onboard. And I can't -- I 24 remember one, and I think it was actually the El Faro, 25 we saw they were discharging because we did see a Dodge

Viper come off on the pier. But I don't think that was 1 2 even the El Faro, I think that was the El Yunque. 3 We got in the office and normally, well, we 4 do documents at first. And they were checking 5 Licenses, credentials. licenses. And we had a weird incident that occurred 6 7 during all that. And I sent some text messages around. 8 And I can kind of explain that. But it's kind of a 9 visual thing, so can I stand up and kind of --10 MR. YOUNG: Sure. We'll try to explain to 11 the telephonic people that. 12 Yes. So we were in the CWO 13 And the door would have been captain's stateroom. 14 where the door is. Just typical door at the opening of 15 the room. 16 And against the bulkhead closest or the wall closest to the door, I think everybody knows bulkhead, 17 18 There was a coach and then another coach riaht? 19 opposite the door. Which is where I was seated. 20 Commander Commander or 21 in that order, were seated up and 22 against the bulkhead. And all the, most of the 23 officers, I think, had come through at that point and brought in their credentials. 24 25 I don't know who it was, but another guy had walked through the door, with his credential, while they were seated at the sofa here, he walked by the table with his credential in his hand like this, sat it on the table in front of them like this, walked over, facing away from them, and just kind of stood there where they couldn't see his face. And he stood there for a few minutes, while they looked at his credential.

And then at that point, Commander and had sent some texts back and forth. And I pulled out my cell phone at the time, and I have the texts from them. And I sent to her, call CGIS. Which is Coast Guard Investigative Services.

To me the guy, I had an experience once where there was a fugitive onboard and that's kind of what it seemed like, what was going on.

Some of the other ships, I forget which one, that had some drugs. Where, when I think one of the crew members actually got shot, if I recall correctly. Coming off the vessel after they had moved some drugs and something went bad. I don't know all the details.

That's kind of what they were thinking. And I sent another text, should probably ask the captain.

Because that's normally how I would handle it. I like to be straightforward and say, captain, what's going on.

1	And then I sent a text, it said, duty
2	engineer. I don't know, I don't recall what that was
3	about, and question Duty engineer and question
4	
5	These were at 10:37, 10:39, 10:41 to
6	I don't have any record that she replied to me.
7	I do recall her and Commander texting back and
8	forth.
9	And I believe these times are correct. But
10	I know some of the emails I have, later one, there's an
11	hour difference. San Juan is Atlantic standard time
12	and I think what may have happened is our Government
13	computers, the server, maybe on the east coast. And
14	the time may be different on the government computer.
15	Or it could have been my cell phone, I'm not sure. But
16	I think my cell phone is right.
17	So the resolution to that was the, whoever
18	he was, walked back to the thing, grabbed his ID, with
19	his back facing them, and walked out. So we were all
20	kind of trying to figure out what's going on here.
21	And I think finally, it was
22	exam, I didn't want to step on his toes. I was really
23	like, kind of what the heck was going on.
24	But said, he walked up, shut the door
25	and then said, captain, you know, I think he said, we

1 know about some drugs and blah, blah, blah. I don't 2 remember the exact words. But said, and he kind of 3 explained to him what the guy did. And the captain hadn't caught on to this. 4 5 And he seemed surprised that we were saying this. 6 when they told him who it was, he said, oh, that guy is 7 a conspiracy theorist. And that was the end of it. 8 There was no more followup, it was odd. Ι 9 think everybody was satisfied that maybe the quy was 10 just a little strange, I don't know. And then we moved 11 on. 12 So from there, during the engineering part 13 for me, there's kind of a lull (phonetic). usually look at the IoPP. 14 15 If the order record book is available, I'll 16 look at it there. If not, later. And I don't recall what was the case, in this particular instance. 17 18 I believe on the El, I know some people have 19 commented about the El Faro. So I think on the El Faro 20 we actually looked at the record book afterwards in the 2.1 chief engineer stateroom. 22 So once all the licenses were done we would 23 go to the engineer room. Oh, I'm sorry. The first 24 thing we typically do is the steering. 25

We test the steering. And that's pretty

much the same for all Coast Guard inspections. Because you have to coordinate that between the bridge team and the engineering team.

We went to the steering compartment. I believe, to get to the steering compartment, you have to go through the car decks. Which were full.

And we got to the steering compartment. The chief engineer, obviously, was with us. One of the other engineers, I don't recall if was the first, second, who it was, and the port engineer. I don't recall his name, but the port engineer followed us on the engineering portion of the exam.

So when we tested the steering, I don't know how much detail you want to go into with the actual tests and stuff. It's pretty much the same on all ships, but we'll have them operate it from the bridge.

Really what we're looking for is if the rudder angle indicator is accurate within a couple degrees. And we'll do that port, starboard, one pump, two pump, followup, non-followup.

When that's finished we'll test the emergency steering. I don't remember, the chief engineer made a comment about the emergency steering. He was like, oh. I believe it was the trick wheel, if I'm not mistaken on that.

That was pretty much the extent of what we tested. The ship overall was clean. All the TOTE ships were, and this one was no different.

I do remember there were like, not buckets, but containers underneath the steering. Which in the Caribbean that was a big problem.

Matter of fact, they went out, I heard one surveyor call it the bucket mentality. But that wasn't the case here.

In this case the buckets were all empty and clean and had clean rags in them with no evidence of anything more than maybe a minor drip. It was more, typically we see buckets, it's an indication of a problem where there's a leak and they're trying to keep up with a leak. In this case it was more that they were trying to keep it clean.

So moving forward. When we were testing the steering we noticed that the steering was, if we asked for, say 15 degrees to port, the steering would go 15 degrees port, and then it would continue on another four or five degrees. So we had tested that several times. And I kind of got concerned that that wasn't right.

We brought that to -- the other thing we had noticed was there was a bolt, for the steering, that

2.1

wasn't fully engaged. The head wasn't seated on the flat where it normally would. There was about, maybe 3/4 of an inch of threads or so showing.

It wasn't loose. And when we stood there and looked at, to try and figure out the function of it, it really wasn't holding anything tight. It was only there to keep something in place.

So it ended up not being a concern. We pointed it out to the port engineer, he said he would have somebody tighten down.

The steering, with the fluctuation, the chief engineer and the port engineer initially seemed kind of surprised about it. And then they had made a comment that the person who did the work on the steering had passed away.

I guess it was an older system. Whoever was familiar with the system obviously was old too and was no longer around.

And then the chief engineer made the comment that maybe the spring and the solenoid was worn out and needed to be replaced. Which seemed to make sense, from what it was doing and what I was seeing.

And I don't know if he knew that or if he just came up with that, but it all seemed like we were on the same page at that point. And then we moved on

2.1

from the steering, after all those tests were done. 1 2 I think I had asked him for a low level 3 alarm, which it didn't have. And we checked all the other things. 4 5 I believe the gyro repeater, instructions Yes, I think that's the extent of 6 for steering. 7 everything. 8 I haven't done a port state control exam in 9 six, well, close to nine months now I guess, being in 10 the IO shop. But I had done them pretty consistently 11 and had pretty much all this down, at that time. 12 And then we moved on. And the way I do the 13 exams, I pretty much do them the same on all the ships. 14 So I don't remember specifically. But I know what I would have done and I'm sure I would have done the same 15 16 And it's pretty much following the 840 port 17 state control exam, freight vessel. 18 So I would have went back to the stern tube 19 And I know it was an older ship, and I had then. 20 experience, I think it was an OSG ship, it doesn't hurt to name names, right? 2.1 22 Where the stern tube was leaking. And it 23 ended up being the end of the life for that ship. 24 was an older ship. 25 And I had read somewhere since that once a

stern tube starts leaking, it's an indication of structural weakness or deflection, augging (phonetic) and sagging and whatnot. So I was curious to what that would look like.

And I remember being pleasantly surprised that the stern tube looked no different than what a new ship would look like. There was no leakage, no wetness, no signs of leakage, nothing like that. Everything looked really good. So that was, it was good, I thought, at that point.

We moved on. And that's a little different, because I think there is kind of like a shift alley or so to get back to the stern tube on that. Which is a little different than what you typically see on a foreign freight ship.

In 90 percent of the ships I think are all the Korean built foreign freight ships. They're all kind of the same. So I kind of go with that and then adapt it as need be.

But from there we would have went and looked at the fire pump and the bilge pump. And I think we looked at the bilge pump and with the exam is just a visual inspection.

Chief engineer offered to run the bilge pump and I told him no, that we don't run, there's a fear of

1 discharging if there's oil in the lines or something 2 like that, so we don't typically run the pumps in port. 3 So I told him that wasn't necessary. That's the time, now had asked me to 4 5 see if the bilge pumps went into the cargo holds during 6 And that was something had found, as the exam. 7 he told me, when he read through solus. 8 There's, when you get into the hazardous 9 cargos, if a bilge pump runs through a cargo hold where 10 they're allowed to store hazardous cargos or materials, I forget all the details with it, but you can't do 11 12 that, for fear of communication between the hazardous 13 location and the location that has hazardous materials. 14 And I asked the chief engineer and he said, 15 no, it does go through there. So that answered the 16 question, there was no reason to go any further. 17 I did get back I reported that to and he changed 18 the COI. 19 From there, I believe we went to look at the 20 turbine generators. Which were all, I mean it's 2.1 basically a box. There's not a whole lot to it. 22 Looked at some gauges. I recall the sight 23 glass for the oil, for the bearing on the generator 24 end, everything seemed to be fine. 25 We looked at the boilers. I don't recall,

if they were in port, I think they typically have one boiler online while it was in port. Everything else was closed up.

There was some point, I don't know if it was this vessel, another vessel, this vessel at another time, I don't recall, but I do recall, and it may not even have been one do the SeaStar ships, but there was an injector laying there.

And I don't know if it was or someone who was with me, and it was a female, and said, what's that? And I pulled it up, I showed her the injector, and was just explaining to her what it was. Then the chief engineer came over and said, so we go through them, that's the way it is. Or something to that extent.

But it very well could have not been that examine or even that vessel. I recall that, but I don't remember particulars of it.

And we looked at the turbines. And from there we would have went -- oh, we would have tested the oily water separator and looked at the sewage system. And if I recall correctly, I think there was like a liquid chlorine they used for the sewage system. We looked to make sure they had chlorine onboard.

And there's no incinerator on there,

2.1

obviously, steam vessel. And from there we would have 1 2 went to the Co2 room and the emergency generator. 3 ran the emergency generator. 4 MR. YOUNG: What would you say the length of 5 time was for this inspection? Do you remember what 6 time you got aboard and --7 Well, I can tell you that those CWO 8 -- while we were still checking the documents, that was 9 10:37, 10:39, 10:41. And I made a phone call to 954-10 Which I believe was the cell phone of Steve 11 Hohenshelt, the ABS surveyor at 3:22. 12 And that was while we were finishing up the 13 paperwork, prior to getting off the vessel. To let him 14 know about what we had found with the steering. 15 Additionally, we had lunch onboard and we 16 I can't say, with 100 percent certainty, did drills. 17 but I did notice I the MISLE narrative there was a fire 18 in the galley drill. And I do remember being in the galley waiting for the fire team to 19 20 arrive. 21 MR. YOUNG: I think it was a good 22 recollection from a long time ago. Prior to going out 23 to El Faro, you said you remove MISLE. Was there any 24 other documents that might have been reviewed, prior to 25 attending?

	CWO does all the, setups the
2	inspection, does all the review. He'll give me a blue
3	folder, just like this.
4	I do recall it. It did have the COI, a copy
5	of the COI. And a copy of the vessel critical profile
6	in it. And I'll just take a quick glance through
7	those. And that was the extent of what I saw.
8	I used to have access to SafeNet.
9	When I got to San Juan I couldn't get in anymore, but
10	did have it. I don't know, will typically
11	review the security plan, things like that. So I don't
12	know what did. How much he reviewed.
13	MR. YOUNG: Do you know if there is a Coast
14	Guard requirement to review the ABS SafeNet, prior to
15	boarding an ACP vessel?
16	CWO There's no requirement that I'm
17	aware of. And I don't think the fact that we have
18	availability to get access to SafeNet is highly
19	advertised.
20	The only reason I found out about it is
21	there was a guy at headquarters, oh geez, what's his
22	name?
23	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Who are you talking about,
24	
25	CWO .
l	I

1 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: He's not there anymore. 2 CWO I think he was the ACP guy at 3 And he would track the ACP exams. one time. 4 I was in Philly he sent out an email because there was 5 like a COI that was or something, exam or something was 6 overdue. 7 He sent an email, I responded to him, we had 8 9 a conversation. He said, oh, I can get you access to 10 And that's kind of how I got it at the time. SafeNet. 11 But had I not picked up the phone and talked to him I 12 never would have had it, most likely. 13 During this inspection of MR. YOUNG: Okay. 14 El Faro, did you complete a checklist or any sort of 15 inspection book that was --16 So my previous two inspection CWO 17 tours were both in Philadelphia. As practiced, we kept 18 the 840 books with us. We recorded all of our exams in 19 the 840 book, submitted them with our packages. 20 were reviewed and filed. 21 When I got to San Juan, they were not, and 22 there was controversy within the bullpen whether 840 23 books needed to be kept or not. Most people said they 24 were as a quide. Some people didn't like to keep them,

I always did.

some people did.

San Juan was also a training port and I preached to the inspectors that not every port is like this and you should fill out the 840 books and it is a good idea to keep them.

But with that being said, the way things were being filed, the 840 books were just being discarded. If they were even in the casework. So there was no point in keeping one.

always did, with the blue folder. He always did printout the 840 books. And if there were two of us going he would print out two.

I always put them in my back pocket of my coveralls. And I did have it onboard that day. And I do recall pulling it out and referring to it.

As we were going through the inspection, I think one of the line items on there I caught was, that isn't part of a normal foreign freight vessel exam, was watertight doors. And I had asked the chief engineer if he had any watertight doors, which typically machinery exam, you're checking Class 3 sliding watertight doors.

He said, what do you mean watertight doors?

I looked under and the bullet was for Class 3 sliding watertight doors. I said, Class 3 sliding watertight doors? He said, no, we don't have anything like that.

2.1

1 Otherwise I checked and every other item we 2 had hit. 3 MR. YOUNG: So if there were any deficiencies that may have been reported from ABS 4 5 during some of the previous surveys, how would you be 6 made aware of those? 7 When the deck team would do CWO 8 documents, they would typically ask for conditions or 9 class or anything outstanding. I wouldn't necessarily be involved with that unless there was an issue that 10 11 needed to be brought to my attention. 12 So I kind of recall maybe the narrative, 13 from the MISLE narrative, may have said that there was 14 or was not things outstanding. I'm not sure. 15 probably wouldn't know unless there was a problem that 16 I needed to know about. 17 MR. YOUNG: Okay. And do you know if there 18 was, in the San Juan Office, ever an attempt to conduct 19 a joint inspection with ABS? 20 No. Well, there was -- that's CWO 21 two ways to approach that. It typically comes up, and 22 I don't recall for certain. I know I'm certain that 23 the discussion came up. 24 And when you look in an ACP vessel, I've 25 seen it done where the inspectors would accompany ABS

and they would do it jointly. And my -- when I would weigh in on one of those discussions, one of the problems is, when you're doing your exam, you're recording all the dates of all the certificates. at that point, the certificates haven't been issued. So the problem with doing it jointly with ABS is, you don't have the certificate date. So it's typically better, in my opinion, to do it after ABS attends and that way there's no hanging chads, I quess to put it one way. But I know it's been done in other place, jointly with ABS. And I don't think there's any instruction that's, you have to do it one way or the other. I think you could do it one way or the other. I personally would prefer to do it afterwards just because everything, you can inspect a finished product, rather than something that's work in progress. MR. YOUNG: Okay. And you had mentioned, during the steering issue, that you were able to contact the ABS surveyor via cell phone. Do you feel that there was pretty open communication between Coast Guard San Juan and the ABS? CWO Yes.

That you were able to discuss

MR. YOUNG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

issues?

the surveyor was I kind of got introduced to him because within six months after I arrived we had the big problem with the Navigator. Or the Horizon Ship. And him and I kind of work closely together.

And he already had had a pretty good relationship with the office. In particular.

Steve Hohenshelt had come new. And I think

Steve and I had worked together on one inspection. It

may have been a barge, I don't recall what it was. But

we had a good working relationship.

And the way it worked out is, the first, they said before was a guy named Lieutenant. And he had lived, a lot of people live in housing, but not everybody. But he had lived on the economy so there's kind of, I guess American and non-American, although Puerto Rico is American, housing type areas I guess.

And coincidentally ended up living

next to . So had a small child, I had a

small child, we all ended up being at birthday parties

together. So it kind of worked for a conducive working
relationship I guess.

But Steve was very, I could call him and he

would answer. Unless he was off island and didn't have cell phone service and vice versa. And we kind of had to operate like that because San Juan, ABS is the only surveyor, as of when I left anyway.

I think Lloyd has had a surveyor there for some time, but when I left, ABS was the only surveyor there. We had a lot of ships come through. A lot of them tend to be DNV GL.

But if it was an ABS vessel and we had a problem and Steve was on another island, you know, it was a bad spot. Where if it was one of the other class societies, the nearest class surveyor might have been in Miami or Boston or New York or whatever.

So it was always, we kind of had to have a pretty good working relationship. I had a good working relationship with Steve. I don't know, I don't want to speak for everybody else in the office.

MR. YOUNG: And I think we have talked to previous, and during previous interviews we know the steering issue was resolved and I believe that Coast Guard and ABS both witnessed the successful completion of the repair.

CWO I I did not. If it was witnessed by the Coast Guard it probably was in Jacksonville, but I don't know.

2.1

MR. YOUNG: Okay. In one of the other interviews we discussed with Coast Guard there was some mention, especially with an older vessel about the Vintage Vessel Center of Expertise. CWO Yes. Would you ever be able to refer MR. YOUNG: to any of those people for any advice or --CWO Well I don't think there is a Vintage Vessel Center of Expertise any longer. I believe, Duluth, Minnesota. I think is probably the Coast Guard's go-to guy for vintage vessels or steam. is a great guy, I like him a lot, but he has a, some people would say an abrasive personality. Sorry, if this recorded. But he's a great guy, very knowledgeable. Some people are reluctant to reach out to I would not be, but my point is our CID had a him. good working relationship with and had we had a problem he certainly would have gotten called. As far as the Vintage Vessel NCOE, one of the guys I had trained with when I first got qualified we both reported to the unit about the same time in 2004, is the guy who has been up there in Duluth for eight years now for two tours, and I have talked to him

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1	about going out there for the breakouts and the steam
2	vessel training to work on finishing my PQS.
3	So I could have called if I
4	(phonetic) is the warrant out there. I could
5	have called him if I had a problem, and it never came,
6	we never had any concerns.
7	MR. YOUNG: Are they available for a tool
8	for you to access if needed for older steamships, other
9	than these two
10	CWO They're not advertised. I
11	think, as far as I know they no longer exist other than
12	that they did exist then there probably somebody out
13	there who might have an answer.
14	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
15	CWO I I think Toledo is the other
16	place where they have some older, you know, and I don't
17	know their lakers, I don't know, I've never been on
18	them, I don't know what they are compared to, you know,
19	what we have here, or down there, had down there.
20	If we needed help I am sure we could have
21	found help. We didn't and Yes.
22	MR. YOUNG: Okay. And when it comes to an
23	inspection aboard El Faro did you ever conduct a
24	similar ACP exam aboard El Yunque?
25	CWO Yes.

1 MR. YOUNG: And is there any comparison or 2 any difference between the two ships that stood out in 3 your mind in terms of the condition of the vessels? 4 CWO No. Every time -- So I think I 5 kind of beat it up, but my first introduction was the 6 Horizon ships and I think was the surveyor 7 there at the time and in passing I think he had a phone 8 call and had to go to another exam and he found out it 9 was one of the SeaStar ships and he was like okay, and 10 he's like I don't mind going out to those, but the 11 Horizon ships always scare me. 12 So everybody kind of knew that the Horizon ships were good, and the first time I went on one, I 13 14 have a calendar in my inspections so I could probably 15 tell you when that was, but I looked around and I'm 16 like wow, the engine room is incredible as far as the 17 cleanliness, how maintained, and talking to the chief 18 engineers and they're like, you know, we try, we take pride in the engine room, we do good work. 19 20 But I know when I arrived December 20th is 2.1 when we had issues with the Horizon, did some work in 22 February of the following year, that's 2012/2013. 23 We had some issues with the Horizon 24 Navigator, supposedly some water in the holds, did a,

let's see, March of 2013, ACP annual Horizon producer,

1 April 2013, Horizon Navigator onboard for a casualty 2 with the SSTG, April 2013, it looks like that was a 3 follow-up on that same casualty, April of 2013, we were 4 on the El Yunque, it would have been an annual. 5 July of 2013 we did an annual on the El 6 Moro, November of 2013 we were on the Horizon Navigator 7 for I believe an annual, May of 2014, Horizon Trader. 8 I forget if that was an annual or it might have been a 9 repair to a boiler. 10 July 2014 we were on the El Faro for an ISM 11 audit and August of 2014 we had another U.S. deep draft 12 coming through, it was not steam it was diesel, but had 13 an engine fire, National Glory, and then the El Faro 14 and El Yunque. 15 That's -- There may be more. I think there 16 were a few extra like incidents we may have been 17 onboard, but I didn't make note of it so I'm not sure 18 without really digging through everything and I may or 19 may not have it. 20 MR. YOUNG: Right. And to the best of your 21 recollection, I know you go aboard a lot of ships and 22 talk to a lot of people, the chief and the first of the 23 El Faro competency, I mean is there anything you remember specifically about them? 24

Yes.

CWO

25

I had no problems with

the chief. He was a New England guy, which 90 percent of your mariners are. He was, to me, very confident. He was very much a typical, I guess you would, engineer.

He kind of was my engine room, you know. I didn't have any issues with him.

MR. YOUNG: And how about the captain, did you deal with the captain much on there?

What I recall about the captain on the El Faro was a coat I believe he had hanging on the back of his, because when we were onboard El Faro I think it was March, so I think there was some snowfall up in the Northeast, or had been recently, he had a coat hanging on the back of his chair that said Cold Spring, I believe, or something like that.

And in Cape May, New Jersey, which I was familiar with, I lived down there for a little while, there is an area called Cold Spring and there is, it's a fishing community, and I was kind of curious as to the jacket but typically your mariners are all from the Boston area is the way it works out, and so it would have been unusual if that were the case, but near the end of the exam I had asked him about his jacket and he said oh, yes, I'm from Cape May, and we had talked and

1 we had talked about the snow and the weather they had 2 had up there. 3 I thought it was unusual because, you know, 4 that's predominately a commercial fishing vessel port, 5 Cape May, not so many mariners. It's atypical to see a 6 mariner, especially a Master to come out of Cape May, 7 but that's what I recall about him. 8 MR. YOUNG: And the last question I have 9 about this inspection, when you were aboard did you 10 ever notice or hear about any riding gangs aboard doing 11 extra work at that time? 12 No. That was -- I had heard of CWO 13 things like that before, mostly from doing new 14 construction in Philly that they would take workers out as they were outfitting a brand new delivery and 15 16 putting, customizing it the way the owner would want 17 it, so I was aware of that. 18 We had lots of things with cruise ships, 19 where cruise ships were going to the yards, and they 20 would pre-stage all their gear onboard prior to going 2.1 to a dry dock while they still had passengers, things 22 like that, but nothing, no, nothing like that. 23 Thank you. I'm going to pass it MR. YOUNG: 24 over to Tom. Do you need a break or anything? 25 CWO No, I'm good.

1	MR. YOUNG: All right.
2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Tom Roth-Roffy, NTSB. Just
3	a couple of follow-ups, please. I believe you
4	mentioned as you were recalling your visit to the El
5	Faro for the COI the last time you were onboard that
6	there were two events.
7	You described in some detail the event with
8	a crew member. The second one was that for the
9	steering gear?
10	CWO Yes, the crew member I remember
11	pretty well and the steering gear I remember.
12	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. And do you recall
13	the position of that crew member, you called it a weird
14	incident?
15	CWO I I don't. On my text I wrote
16	"duty engineer?" I don't believe he was an officer. I
17	don't know whether he was I know SeaStar while they
18	were on port they would have engineers from the local
19	area stand watch while they were on port, I don't know
20	if he was one of those or if he was part of the crew.
21	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Would a port engineer have
22	to present credentials while the vessel was in port for
23	the examination?
24	CWO No.
25	(Simultaneous speaking)
I	I and the second se

1	CWO Oh, an in-port engineer?
2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Correct.
3	CWO Yes, if he was working onboard,
4	sure. Yes, right. I thought I'm sorry.
5	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. I'm interested about
6	this a little bit more if this person was actually a
7	crew member and what his position was, and, you know,
8	there is an issue of competency I would think on this
9	sort of occasion.
LO	You don't know if there was any follow-up
11	done after the inspection to kind of validate his
L2	CWO As far as I know the
L3	explanation of conspiracy theorists was good for
14	everyone and it was left at that.
15	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: All right.
L6	CWO We went down to the engine room
L7	from there, the bridge team stayed up on the bridge,
L8	you know, with the and then would have went up to
L9	the, well they would have went up to the bridge when we
20	went to the steering.
21	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: I think it might be worth
22	following up on to get a little bit more details. So
23	the best person for us to talk to about that would be
24	in your opinion? Would it be or another
) 5	

1	CWO CWO or the commander, the
2	CID. I think he is the guy who was checking the guy's
3	credential.
4	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay.
5	CWO Because I think he had told me,
6	because I was concerned that maybe his document was, or
7	credential was fraudulent and he had mentioned that no,
8	I saw his face and it matched the picture.
9	LCDR This is Lieutenant
10	Commander from the Coast Guard. When you
11	just said he was checking the credentials are you
12	talking about Lieutenant Commander (phonetic)?
13	CWO Correct.
14	LCDR Okay.
15	CWO Yes.
16	LCDR So so okay.
17	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: It seems to me to be
18	aberrant behavior that perhaps we need to look at it.
19	And you mentioned the composition of the team normally
20	is just you and but in this instance you had two
21	others additional participating. Do you know why those
22	others were added to the team?
23	CWO Well I would It would
24	probably be
25	(Simultaneous speaking)
ļ	

1	CWO Sure, sure. Yes, it would be
2	abnormal. I think in every case we always took
3	somebody along. I think the There was no particular
4	reason other than training.
5	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. And so in this case
6	was the only person on the team that had the
7	steam qualification?
8	CWO That's correct.
9	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Everybody else was in
10	training?
11	CWO Commander I believe has
12	a hull qual.
13	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: But no steam qual?
14	CWO No. Yes, everybody else would
15	be in training for steam.
16	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: All right.
17	CWO And, you know, with that I
18	think there was some talk of when you are talking about
19	qualifications, in other words for a machinery
20	qualification I know you, as I understand it you
21	technically could not get a machinery qualification by
22	doing an ACP exam.
23	However, you could maintain currency of your
24	machinery qual by doing an ACP exam. So I guess we
25	were in training but I don't know that it was, if that

1	makes a difference or helps any.
2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. And you also talked
3	a little bit about the Horizon versus the TOTE ships.
4	CWO Yes.
5	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Could you just restate your
6	view on the condition of those two different operating
7	companies?
8	CWO Sure, absolutely.
9	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: (Inaudible) vessels.
LO	CWO Yes. Horizon Can I go into
L1	kind of the Reader's Digest version of what happened to
L2	the Horizon ship?
13	LT. I think it would be helpful for
L4	background, sure.
L5	CWO Yes. When I had arrived I had
L6	arrived at the end of the August, last day in August,
L7	and I think December is when we had this casualty and
L8	it was the Horizon, it doesn't really matter, but it
L9	had left the yards in I think, I want to say Busan, it
20	was in the Far East somewhere, and it returned I
21	believe to Jacksonville and was making its first trip
22	from Jacksonville to San Juan, and, of course, it would
23	have been light this entire time.
24	I think they had put empty containers
25	onboard in Jacksonville. Typically nothing, no cargo

goes into San Juan, very little, it all comes out of San Juan and empties come back, for the most part, there is some minor cargo.

With the few containers they had onboard it was loaded enough that they found a pinhole leak below the water line, so they were dealing with this water ingress and they had managed to stop the leak, and I don't recall the details exactly how they stopped it, some type of temporary repair, and pretty much the moment they got the leak stopped the power went out.

And what had happened was there is, this vessel was supposedly designed by a NASA engineer, so instead of having the typical two-steam turbine generators they had a steam turbine generator and a diesel generator.

And I think they were on the diesel at the time and the exciter at the end of the diesel shorted out, which tripped the board. When the board tripped the turbine tried to come online but overloaded it and burnt out the breaker.

When they lost the breaker, and I may not be 100 percent on base with this, but it's pretty close, they lost the breaker, the emergency came online -- The emergency never, the emergency failed to come online, it wouldn't start.

As a matter of fact I believe it was six hours later before they could get the emergency started. During that time they still had steam in the plant so they were blowing, instead of blowing the steam they were running the turbine.

The lube oil pump for the turbine was on the main bus, so it had a battery backup but the battery wasn't working, so they had no oil going to the turbine and they ended up losing the turbine.

So they were able to take an Aggreko generator there on deck, tie it in to the main bus, get it powered up, make slow speed to port, and then they came in with tug assist, and I want to say it was like \$1.3 million to fix the turbine and get, you know, I think six weeks, two months later or something they finally got the ship online.

And then after that we had another casualty with the generator, I think where just some wires had broke or come loose in the generator, and then they had issues, a whistleblower I guess onboard -- I can get in trouble saying this, but somebody had reported water in the cargo holds.

It turned out really not to be maybe an issue at the time, but it went to New York. ABS, the Coast Guard in New York went onboard it, issued like 17

2.1

deficiencies.

2.1

You know, we were on there quite a bit and they realized they had issues and we tried to work with them, but just the condition, the overall like condition of the vessel it just aesthetically it wasn't as nice compared to going to the SeaStar and everything was just kept like a classic car almost is kind of what it looked like.

MR. ROTH-ROFFY: And which Coast Guard office normally did the seal line inspections on the El Faro?

CWO You know --

MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Do you have that with the schedule?

CWO I couldn't say. I mean my timeframe was 2012 to 2015, so I think you'd have to look at that being an annual inspection at a bigger window and I don't think I -- I do know we had worked with Jacksonville at one time, and I don't recall if the TOTE ships were involved with it or not, but there was a, headquarters had a policy to do additional oversight on some of the ACP vessels and they were targeted, so in a certain timeframe you should have done an additional inspection exam.

And we had worked with Jacksonville and

Jacksonville found that -- We have schedulers, in San

Juan at least, that look at that and they are maybe not

as keen on the domestic side of that but we brought

them up to speed and, I don't know, it may have -- I do

recall there was an issue where it was coming in and we

were trying to interpret the policy.

The policy wasn't maybe as clear as it could have been and we were trying to figure out if it needed to get boarded or if it could wait till the next board and I think it went back to Jacksonville and they called and they asked us to do it.

I don't remember what ship that was. And I thought El -- I had gone on leave/training at one point and I do remember saying that there was a new, I think it was a SeaStar ship coming in, and I was going to be gone at that time, and I had just assumed it had gotten done when I got back, but I'm thinking maybe it didn't, I don't know.

So I can't really -- We did, I can tell you the ones that I was one and I believe that's all that was done while I was there, what I read off.

LT. Okay.

CWO But I don't know if there is any port or any, you know -- San Juan is pretty small office. There is -- I think wherever they submit the

2.1

1 application for inspection is who does it and I don't 2 think there is an answer other than that. 3 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. And you mentioned in 4 July of, I forget the year, was it July 2014 or July 5 2015 you were on the El Faro for an ISM audit? 6 Yes. CWO 7 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Could you explain what 8 that's all about? 9 Yes. CWO 10 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Did you work with the ABS 11 on an audit or was it independent? 12 ABS, and my understanding CWO Yes. 13 is that ABS as part of the ACP program they are 14 supposed to notify the Coast Guard before they go on a 15 vessel just to give us basically a courtesy notice and 16 invite us to attend if we wish, and there was an email 17 that came about ISM audit on the El Faro. 18 I wanted to become more familiar, learn a 19 little more about ISM. I was hoping to go -- All the 20 class societies have a course, but I had never been to 2.1 it, but the opportunity came along to attend the ISM 22 exam and when the email went out I replied that I would 23 qo. 24 It turned out to be on Saturday the Fourth 25 of July weekend, but the Fourth of July is not a big

1	deal in San Juan. So I went out and actually we had
2	several other people ask to go along as well,
3	(phonetic) was one, Commander went, and I
4	don't recall here name but it's the Lieutenant that
5	works in the Waterways Office.
6	The three of us were onboard. Commander
7	the surveyor had been prior Coast Guard and
8	Commander knew him, so he asked us to come in
9	civilian attire so we weren't imposing.
LO	We attended, we witnessed this survey, and
11	that's all I recall.
L2	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. Actually, I think
L3	you called it an ISM audit.
L4	CWO Yes, ISM audit.
15	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay. And that was being
L6	done by the ABS and you were just kind of observing?
L7	CWO It was done by ABS, we were
L8	just observing. There is only two things I recall, one
L9	I can't say 100 percent certain, but I think one was
20	they had asked, I think there was probably no non-
21	conformities, and kind of what I learned out of it was
22	that no non-conformities, although you think that would
23	be a good thing it, would be a bad thing, because the
24	reality is non-conformities happen and they should be
25	documented and I think that's what came out of it

1 They had went through a scenario and they 2 are like okay, great, where is it, and it wasn't 3 recorded and they kind of felt well we didn't 4 understand that that was necessarily something that had 5 to be recorded. 6 So ABS I think corrected it on the spot, and 7 that's how I recall it. That may not be 100 percent 8 accurate. The other thing I recall is us going, the 9 auditor had grabbed a crew member at random who turned 10 out to be a cadet, who was a Kings Pointer, was 11 onboard, and had asked him some questions about the ISM 12 and I think everybody was amazed, but especially the 13 auditor was amazed at how sharp this kid was and how he 14 was able to answer the questions. 15 And, you know, it was obvious that they had 16 given him training onboard and he came up with all the 17 answers that the auditors asked. 18 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: I for one am not surprised that the Kings Pointer had all those answers. 19 20 that's all I have. Thank you, Brian. 2.1 MR. YOUNG: Thank you. 22 LCDR Lieutenant Commander 23 from the Coast Guard. I do have a few 24 questions. 25 You mentioned that

1 typically the one who checks ABS's SafeNet System and 2 would have noticed the outstanding conditions the class 3 noted in the narrative, did he talk about those at all 4 with you, are you familiar with them? 5 One was a 4P aft transverse bulkhead wasted 6 and hold, and a Number 1 port double bottom ballast 7 tank with some fractured welds. 8 I don't recall that coming up, CWO 9 so, no. 10 Okay. LCDR 11 He didn't make a point of it. 12 As far as I know I wasn't aware of that, no. 13 In the narrative LCDR 14 writes that the vessel is scheduled to go out of 15 service in October 2015, what was your understanding of 16 going out of service, was it actually going out of 17 certificated service or was it going to convert to West 18 Coast, what were you told? 19 That was a topic of CWO 20 conversation not necessarily just on that inspection, 2.1 but on others as well. We all knew that the L&G ships 22 were coming, so we were curious as to, you know, when 23 they were coming, and that was always a topic of conversation. 24 25 I would probably say at that time my, we

kind of knew that it was going away and probably assumed that it was just -- I don't know that we, I don't know that I knew that it was going to go to I probably assumed it was going to go Alaskan service. out of serve all together, but I don't think that weighed in any way. In the narrative, just the LCDR way it's written to me, it seems to list the outstanding conditions of the class being completed by next dry dock in February of 2016 and the vessel is scheduled to go out of service in October of 2015, right next to each other maybe on purpose. I am curious do you know if that was a conversation that had with you or with anyone about concern that maybe the requirements shouldn't be put past when it's going out of service? Not with me. CWO LCDR Okay. CWO would have done the narrative. was pretty good. I mean I pride myself in narratives and does as well I think and he does pretty good stuff, but I didn't have -- He would typically ask me to check his grammar and his

spelling, and I don't remember that being the case in

this instance.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1 I don't recall having looked at his 2 narrative or anything from this particular one. 3 LCDR Okay. The ABS survey that 4 identified these open conditions was the annual hull 5 survey for -- held between 23 and 27 January, 6 approximately, and part of it in San Juan. 7 Do you know if anyone from your office or 8 yourself may have attended the hull survey? 9 No, no one attended. 10 some emails that might clarify that, but the gist of them ABS hasn't always, in my experience ABS hasn't 11 12 been great at giving us the notice that they are 13 required to. 14 I think mainly because surveyors are busy, 15 we're busy, and whatever, but I think most of the 16 surveyors typically give it an effort, but when we do 17 get it it might be short notice. 18 LCDR Okay. 19 CWO And according to the emails, 20 and I wasn't really engaged in this although I was 21 copied on some of them, and was one of the ones 22 who was very good about giving the notice when the 23 exams were coming. 24 And there was an email that said, you know, 25 FYI doing a survey, just to let you know, in accordance with what he's supposed to do, and then there is an email, the CID reviewed it and then asked the domestic branch chief if we had anybody available and the reply from the CID then was that we're busy but we'll try and see if we have somebody in the afternoon. I do recall a conversation with and she was like -- I don't remember the exact details. I don't know that it was particularly this instance or it may have been another one, but she was like we got all this stuff going on, I've got to do this and got to do that. And I know that maybe she didn't have a clear understanding that this was a requirement for them to notify us that we have a survey coming due, that we're going to do a survey, and there is not necessarily an obligation to attend, but this is just a courtesy in accordance with the policy. Sir, just to reemphasize, this LT. is Lieutenant when was the annual hull survey? I have the ABS survey LCDR status in front of me and it seems to show 23 January in San Juan, Puerto Rico, and 27 January in Jacksonville, Florida. LT. And then, Okay. emails that you are talking about are dated 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1	February, so this would have been after that portion
2	that appears to have been completed. These emails are
3	from Steve
4	MALE PARTICIPANT: Hohenshelt.
5	LT Hohenshelt and it's providing
6	notice on February 12th that he will be attending the
7	subject ACP vessel on February 13th to complete annual
8	surveys.
9	CWO Okay.
10	LT. So this is
11	CWO Okay.
12	LT. The emails that is
13	referring to are after the portion of the survey that
14	you are referring to.
15	LCDR Okay, thank you.
16	CWO And what survey was it? I'm
17	maybe You were talking the
18	LCDR The hull survey.
19	CWO So like dry dock or
20	LCDR No, it was before the dry
21	docking. They were
22	CWO Okay. Yes, okay.
23	LCDR The requirements were
24	issued to be completed at dry dock, which would be 26
25	February 2016.

1	CWO And we didn't have any active
2	dry docks in San Juan, so we, you know, that's not
3	something we would have done.
4	LCDR Okay. And a couple
5	clarifications. You mentioned that would
6	typically put a Coast Guard 840 book or a guide into
7	your folder.
8	CWO That's right.
9	LCDR What 840 book would he
10	have put in there this time?
11	CWO The ACP
12	LCDR The ACP freight.
13	CWO Yes, which I believe the
14	revision was like 2001 or
15	LCDR Okay.
16	CWO You know, it don't think that's
17	something most of the 840 books had been
18	continuously revised and almost made so thick that
19	they're kind of worthless in my opinion, but ACP is one
20	that hadn't been touched in a long time.
21	LCDR Would it be your
22	preference to have a machinery inspection book as well
23	or is that not something that you would do?
24	CWO At that time we were doing an
25	ACP inspection and, you know, the ACP 840 would have
I	1

1	been the appropriate one.
2	LCDR Okay. As part of your
3	exam did you consider cargo hold ventilation systems to
4	be part of your exam or was that something you didn't
5	look at or
6	CWO I would be the machinery guy,
7	so I would work with the chief engineer, and the extent
8	or scope of our exam would be steering, engine room,
9	not, wouldn't get into the cargo or deck or bridge.
LO	LCDR Okay. Have you ever had
11	any experience looking at the cargo hold ventilation
12	systems for either El Faro or El Yunque?
13	CWO For those, no.
L4	LCDR Okay.
L5	CWO Other ships I have looked at
16	ventilation.
L7	LCDR Okay. In your time in the
L8	engine room did you notice any means of escape issues
L9	or like door problems or things like that?
20	CWO I I did not. When we came in I
21	believe from the steering area you go, I believe it's
22	almost like a machine shop or like a work storage room
23	or something like that, and maybe I'm thinking of a
24	different vessel, but when you come in like from the
25	galley you end up going down some ladders, so it's
ı	I and the state of

1 several decks down to get down to the main machinery 2 flat so I don't -- I didn't notice anything. 3 Going from steering to the engine room, and I'm sure you know, but you are following the chief 4 5 engineer, who lives on there for several months, and he's going 100 miles an hour and you're just trying to 6 7 keep up, follow him, while you are also trying to look 8 around the best you can and walk between the cars and 9 having a flashlight and not scratch a Mercedes or, you 10 know, whatever, you know. I didn't notice that though, 11 no. 12 LCDR During the exam do Okay. 13 you recall testing the fire main? 14 I did not. We looked at the CWO 15 fire pump. I am sure that the fire main would have 16 gotten checked when they did the, out on deck, so the 17 deck guys would have checked that. 18 So you didn't see LCDR Okay. 19 the main or emergency fire pumps running? 20 Not the emergency. The main we CWO 21 may have bumped it over just to see pressure on the 22 gauge. 23 LCDR Okay. 24 CWO I don't have a clear 25 recollection.

1	LCDR Okay. During any of your
2	time in the engine room or steering did you test COMs
3	at all, communication?
4	CWO Yes, that's how we would have
5	checked the steering, so it would have been with the
6	sound power phones or
7	LCDR Okay. Any problems with
8	that or
9	CWO Not that I recall. I mean some
10	are better than others, most are generally bad, but you
11	can hear.
12	LCDR Did you happen to look at
13	the emergency generator or was that more
14	CWO Yes, the emergency generator we
15	would have checked and ran.
16	LCDR Did you run it or put it
17	under load or
18	CWO We would have run it, not under
19	load.
20	LCDR Not under load, okay. Did
21	you test any alarms or anything on the emergency
22	generator?
23	CWO We would not have tested
24	alarms. I do recall with Typically on a freight
25	exam, which is kind of the scope we're looking at, we
_	

1 would check two means of starts. 2 I don't recall, I think what I am recalling 3 is the El Yunque, which I am sure is the same but I'm not 100 percent certain, which would not have a second 4 means of start in the true sense where it would have 5 two independent, a pneumatic and electric starter or 6 7 even a battery switch and two backs, but instead they 8 have over crank protection. 9 I think this came up on the El Faro where I had asked the chief engineer if he knew how to test the 10 11 over crank and he said he did not, but that doesn't 12 mean it wasn't checked. 13 I was -- My intent was to check with ABS 14 next time I ran into them and see if it was done there. 15 LCDR Okay. You mentioned you 16 looked at the bilge pumps, but I don't think you ran 17 them, right? 18 That's correct. CWO 19 Did you look at any bilge LCDR 20 alarms? 21 We did not, and that's CWO 22 typically something I would do, but it was a solid 60, 23 a manned engine room, so it wouldn't have been required, so we wouldn't have looked at that. 24 25 This may have been LCDR Okay.

1	more of job on this inspection, but did you
2	happen to notice if there were bilge alarms in the
3	holds?
4	CWO No. Like I said the holds as
5	we walked through them was just going to the engine
6	room and it was filled with cars. It was a challenge
7	to You couldn't walk straight through them, you had
8	to walk sideways just to get through.
9	LCDR Okay. During your time
LO	onboard I know you wouldn't have been able to look at
11	the boilers in too much detail, but do you recall
12	having a conversation or looking into when they were
13	last hydro'd or anything like that?
L4	CWO No, because that's Under ACP
	CWO No, because that's Under ACP that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of
14 15 16	
15	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of
15 16 17	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of take our regs out and throw them out the window and
L5 L6 L7 L8	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of take our regs out and throw them out the window and ABS's would apply, which without having reason to get
15 16 17 18	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of take our regs out and throw them out the window and ABS's would apply, which without having reason to get into that that wouldn't be something we would normally
L5 L6	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of take our regs out and throw them out the window and ABS's would apply, which without having reason to get into that that wouldn't be something we would normally do.
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of take our regs out and throw them out the window and ABS's would apply, which without having reason to get into that that wouldn't be something we would normally do. LCDR Okay. Did you spot check
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of take our regs out and throw them out the window and ABS's would apply, which without having reason to get into that that wouldn't be something we would normally do. LCDR Okay. Did you spot check any periodic safety test procedures?
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of take our regs out and throw them out the window and ABS's would apply, which without having reason to get into that that wouldn't be something we would normally do. LCDR Okay. Did you spot check any periodic safety test procedures? CWO AS I recall we did, but that
L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20 21 22	that would fall under ABS. So, again, we would kind of take our regs out and throw them out the window and ABS's would apply, which without having reason to get into that that wouldn't be something we would normally do. LCDR Okay. Did you spot check any periodic safety test procedures? CWO AS I recall we did, but that was just simply

1	I mean, yes, low water. I know there was, it was a
2	challenge for the chief engineer as I recall it and he
3	had us maybe test, there was like a low and low low or
4	something and we may have tested the low, not the low
5	low.
6	LCDR Okay.
7	CWO But we tested, as I recall we
8	tested some, but I
9	LCDR You're not sure, all
10	right.
11	CWO I'm not 100 percent certain.
12	LCDR Well during that period
13	when you were with the chief engineer did you note or
14	remember any conversation about the condition of the
15	boilers or the steam piping, did he have any concerns
16	with it?
17	CWO No.
18	LCDR Okay.
19	CWO No, there was
20	LCDR All right. Did you note
21	anything from the electrical standpoint while you were
22	walking around, was the electrical in good condition?
23	CWO Yes, no issues with electrical.
24	LCDR Okay. This is probably
25	 something vow wouldn't remember, but just out of

1 curiosity to see if anything strikes your memory. 2 CWO Yes? 3 Bulkhead penetrations, do LCDR you recall, is that something you look at, were you 4 5 looking at cable ways going through the bulkhead 6 penetrations, things like that? 7 No, I wouldn't go out of CWO No. 8 my way to look at that. If I had saw something as I 9 was passing by I would have, you know, looked into it 10 further. 11 LCDR But you don't recall any 12 issues? 13 I don't recall anything. CWO 14 know, maybe two things, I think when we looked at the 15 bilge pump as we passed that there may have been a rag 16 or two laying in the engine room. 17 LCDR Okay. 18 I asked the chief engineer, I CWO 19 pointed it out to him, I asked him to pick it up. 20 if I got the right vessel, and I'm not sure I do, it 21 may have been El Faro, there was maybe a small puddle 22 on the deck tank top up towards, let me get my 23 orientation, I think looking at the boiler it would have been to the port side, and I asked the chief 24 25 engineer what that was and he said it was just a steam

1 leak. 2 So there was no active steam leaks, but I 3 took that as a little bit of packing from a valve or something may have dripped, but there was no, you know. 4 5 LCDR Okay. Did you have a 6 habit of looking at the non-metallic expansion joints, 7 was that something you would look at? 8 CWO I would because Horizon they 9 had actually, for their feedwater treatment they had 10 put PVC pipe in and when I saw that I was like wow, and 11 we made an issue of it and the last I checked with 12 them, they're out of service now, but it was still 13 existing and an issue and something they are working 14 on. 15 But, yes, I mean I was cognizant of that. Ι 16 didn't see it and didn't look, go out of my way to look 17 for it, but it's something I would've been looking for 18 and it wasn't there. 19 In your experience working LCDR 20 with ABS have you seen any problems or positives with 2.1 their handling of replacement of non-metallic expansion 22 joints, making sure it's done? 23 CWO No. 24 LCDR Okay. 25 No, I don't -- I think usually CWO

1	there is a lot of confusion about non-metallic
2	expansion joints. A lot of times they are like oil
3	joints where they are not below the water line or
4	whatever.
5	But I don't recall Yes, no, I don't
6	recall any issues or
7	LCDR Okay. So just some
8	overall summary questions, I have a few of them here,
9	safety management wise did you feel after being onboard
LO	do you recall having a feeling of good safety
L1	management, not so good, you know, how did you feel
12	about the company and the ship and their procedures for
	safety?
L3	
L3 L4	CWO Yes, we didn't have any
	CWO Yes, we didn't have any concerns.
L4	
L4 L5	concerns.
14 15 16	concerns. LCDR Okay. Did you feel that
14 15 16 17	concerns. LCDR Okay. Did you feel that the crew was knowledgeable, did you recall any type of
14 15 16 17	concerns. LCDR Okay. Did you feel that the crew was knowledgeable, did you recall any type of concern with either the crew or, you know, just the
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	concerns. LCDR Okay. Did you feel that the crew was knowledgeable, did you recall any type of concern with either the crew or, you know, just the condition of the vessel itself?
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	concerns. LCDR Okay. Did you feel that the crew was knowledgeable, did you recall any type of concern with either the crew or, you know, just the condition of the vessel itself? Did you feel the crew was knowledgeable and
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	concerns. LCDR Okay. Did you feel that the crew was knowledgeable, did you recall any type of concern with either the crew or, you know, just the condition of the vessel itself? Did you feel the crew was knowledgeable and were taking care of the plant?
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20	concerns. LCDR Okay. Did you feel that the crew was knowledgeable, did you recall any type of concern with either the crew or, you know, just the condition of the vessel itself? Did you feel the crew was knowledgeable and were taking care of the plant? CWO I mostly dealt with just the
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9 20 21	concerns. LCDR Okay. Did you feel that the crew was knowledgeable, did you recall any type of concern with either the crew or, you know, just the condition of the vessel itself? Did you feel the crew was knowledgeable and were taking care of the plant? CWO I mostly dealt with just the chief engineer and the port engineer.

CWO The only conversation I had
with the port engineer was there was an email that went
out about them looking for a port engineer, it was a
Coast Guard guy, and I had asked them if they hired
somebody and he told me they had.
I didn't recognize the name until I met him
the next time we were onboard, and he's like why, you
want a job, are you looking for a job or something like
that, and I said no and that was pretty much the extent
of our interaction.
LCDR So after you completed the
entire exam and you were walking off did you have
confidence in the machinery onboard based on the
completion of that exam?
CWO Yes. It was
LCDR How did you develop
CWO With the exception of the
CWO With the exception of the
CWO With the exception of the steering.
CWO With the exception of the steering. LCDR Okay. How did you develop
CWO With the exception of the steering. LCDR Okay. How did you develop that confidence considering you didn't run a lot of it,
CWO With the exception of the steering. LCDR Okay. How did you develop that confidence considering you didn't run a lot of it, right. I mean
CWO With the exception of the steering. LCDR Okay. How did you develop that confidence considering you didn't run a lot of it, right. I mean CWO You know, it's funny, right

1 first five minutes of you exam you decide the condition 2 of that vessel? 3 And I never really thought about it like that before but it kind of, after going through the 4 5 quality assurance type training, you know, that's just 6 another tool in the quiver. 7 But, you know, everything -- I don't -- I 8 quess we couldn't say that we were confident in the 9 machinery, but I think what we were doing is checking 10 to make sure that we are confident ABS did what they 11 were supposed to and, you know, I think that's what we 12 walked away with. 13 Okay, thank you. LCDR No 14 further questions. 15 MR. YOUNG: Before we go to the phone we're 16 going to take a 5-minute bio break as they call it. 17 We'll put the recording on pause and we'll come back at 18 11:15. 19 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went 20 off the record at 11:** a.m. and resumed at 11:20 a.m.) 21 MR. YOUNG: It's 11:20 and we put the 22 recording back on and we're all back here. 23 understand TOTE's back on the line. How about 24 Lou, are you guys there? 25 LCDR Yes, I am, this is

1	MR. O'DONNELL: Online.
2	MR. YOUNG: Okay, thanks. Yes, we're going
3	to resume our line of questioning about the inspection
4	of the El Faro. We have completed the questions in the
5	room and now we're going to go to the phone to, start
6	with with
7	LCDR I don't have any follow-on
8	questions.
9	MR. YOUNG: Okay, thanks. Lou?
10	MR. O'DONNELL: Lou O'Donnell, ABS, no
11	follow-on questions.
12	MR. YOUNG: Okay. From TOTE, Lee?
13	MR. FISKER-ANDERSON: Jim Fisker-Anderson
14	here. Lee had to step out. No follow-up questions
15	from TOTE.
16	MR. YOUNG: Okay, thank you. This is Brian
17	Young with the NTSB again. I had just a few follow-up
18	questions on that line of questioning. What is the
19	size of the San Juan sector ballpark, if you will, not
20	ballpark, bullpen?
21	CWO The sector I guess you could
22	say is fairly large, but the inspection shop is not, so
23	I assume that's what you're looking at.
24	MR. YOUNG: Yes.
25	CWO I'm trying to quantify that.

Maybe you could do it comparatively, like in Philadelphia for machinery warrant officers with MSSEs, which is Chief Warrant Officer Engineer -- MSSE, Marine Safety Specialist Engineering, I think we had five in Philadelphia where in San Juan we were only (inaudible) for one. So one to five, you know, considerably smaller, obviously not as busy. For domestic vessel inspections a fleet of T-boats from Philadelphia I think we had around 200, in San Juan we had around 50. But it's a different level of work. Philadelphia you could inspect the vessel once and be In San Juan you might have to go to that same vessel, a domestically small passenger vessel, several times. I think there is a challenge in the Caribbean where in the U.S. if you need a part you go to Auto Zone and, you know. In San Juan you order it and they may or may not ship it there and it may or may not come there in a week or whatever, so it's a different environment.

I guess you could say it's a smaller office staffing wise and maybe not as busy as some other places. Did I answer your question?

MR. YOUNG: Yes. No, you did a good

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

comparative, especially to Philadelphia. But during your time in San Juan were there ever any challenges in manpower where you didn't have the personnel available to conduct?

challenges. The one big thing that actually got corrected as far as I know, with San Juan the tour length domestically it's four years in Philadelphia or any U.S., CONUS, Continental U.S., port it's four years, in San Juan it was three, and if you were unaccompanied, if you didn't have a family with you it was only two years.

Well a lot of times it would take two years to qualify somebody. So they would come there, they would train, and as soon as they get qualified they'd leave and we'd get somebody else unqualified.

And sometimes those seat transfers are off season if they go to a school, so they may come six months into it, so they are only there for 18 months, so that was a challenge.

Our budget was a challenge. We basically, we had that sequestration going on, but then even after that my understanding is a lot of the money that we had went to pay security for housing on the base and the swimming pool and any time we asked for training we

1 didn't have it. 2 And then just getting to San Juan, unless 3 it's the winter time and people want to come on vacation, it's not easy logistically to get people 4 5 there. Understanding the inspection 6 MR. YOUNG: 7 regime of an ACP vessel is similar to a Port State 8 Control, I kind of --9 Correct. CWO 10 You stated that. Well have you MR. YOUNG: 11 ever been involved with a full inspection of a non-ACP 12 vessel of a steamship? 13 Yes. CWO 14 MR. YOUNG: And how much more intense 15 engineering wise is an inspection like that compared to 16 the ACP? 17 Well quite a bit. CWO I mean most 18 vessels are ACP. We don't have a lot in the domestic 19 fleet that's not. The one I did do was the Chemical 20 Pioneer, who was ACP but went out of ACP and I did it 2.1 when it was non-ACP. 22 I don't know their status now, but it's 23 definitely -- and that was probably not as robust as

engine room doing tests, testing equipment, observing

That was, you know, a full day of in the

some may be.

24

1 the test and whatnot with them being prepared and, you 2 know. 3 It was every bit of a full day to that We did the Humphreys, which was non-ACP. 4 exempt. That 5 was, for the whole inspection it probably would have 6 taken us a week if it was all, you know, back-to-back. 7 It happened to be in a dry dock at the time so it was -- We did the new construction at ACCR 8 9 (phonetic), which was we did one that was, it was GL, 10 so they weren't ACP at the time, so that was pretty 11 intense as far as the entire construction of a new ship 12 doing a complete inspection. 13 So, you know, it's a lot more intense to do --Even when we did the ferries, which aren't steam, but 14 15 which are full machinery inspections to do, and we did 16 them quarterly, every three months, that was a full day 17 to do an inspection on those. So there's quite a bit 18 there. 19 MR. YOUNG: And on an ACP vessel I 20 understand you have the 840 book to serve as a quide. 2.1 Correct. CWO 22 MR. YOUNG: What would the publication be 23 for a guide for a full inspection? 24 CWO There would be two, there is a 25 hull book and a machinery book.

1	MR. YOUNG: And is there a title to the
2	machinery book?
3	CWO That's it right there.
4	MR. YOUNG: That's it? That's for a full
5	inspection, right?
6	CWO Yes, that's the machinery.
7	MR. YOUNG: CG-840 MI?
8	CWO Yes.
9	MR. YOUNG: Is this the same as ACP or
10	different?
11	CWO Different.
12	MALE PARTICIPANT: Different, they're
13	different.
14	CWO Immensely different.
15	MR. YOUNG: What's the ACP?
16	MALE PARTICIPANT: This is ACP.
17	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
18	MALE PARTICIPANT: Sorry.
19	MR. YOUNG: Oh, so there is a CG-840 ACP, a
20	CG-840 MI, that's the difference?
21	CWO Correct, yes.
22	MR. YOUNG: Okay.
23	LCDR Can I
24	CWO Sure.
25	LCDR This is Lieutenant

1 from the Coast Guard. So essentially the 2 difference is that when you are doing an ACP vessel you 3 are going to have one book, it's going to be ACP freight, ACP tankship, whatever you're doing, okay. 4 5 So what you see there is ACP freight, that's one book that if you are doing hull, machinery, 6 7 everything is in there, okay. When we do the vessel 8 outside of ACP we're going to have two books, we're 9 going to have hull and machinery, okay. 10 MR. YOUNG: Okay. 11 LCDR And so the hull quy, 12 in this case, would have got the hull book, he would've 13 got the machinery book, and they would have split up and done a completely different exam. 14 15 You know, whereas in the ACP case it's more 16 put together into one exam and it's less intense. 17 MR. YOUNG: Okay. 18 In general the ACP exam is LCDR designed, as he said, to be closer to the Port State 19 20 Exam and maybe take a, you know, three, four hours, 21 whereas the hull and machinery exam, if you do the full 22 thing then a lot of times those inspections are going 23 to take you between two and four days. 24 MR. YOUNG: Okay. I was just looking for 25 the difference in between the levels of inspection and,

1	obviously, there is a big difference.
2	CWO Right. And if you look at the
3	ACP boat and, you know, most of the sites are typically
4	solus (phonetic).
5	MR. YOUNG: Right, right.
6	CWO So, you know, we're going
7	actually into the regulations when we get a machinery
8	exam. So even if we would look further we would really
9	have nothing to look at because we don't have any basis
10	for what we're checking. Does that make sense?
11	MR. YOUNG: Not really, no.
12	CWO Like he had mentioned flex
13	couplings, which there is a regulation in Subchapter F
14	for flex couplings, how often they have to be replaced,
15	but if I am on an ACP vessel Subchapter F doesn't
16	apply.
17	So I have no regulation for flex couplings
18	unless there is something solus, but ABS rules then
19	would apply. Does that make sense?
20	MR. YOUNG: Okay, yes. Yes.
21	LT. This is Lieutenant
22	During an ACP exam you are not checking compliance with
23	class rules you're just checking certificates that
24	state they are in compliance with (inaudible) rules?
25	CWO Correct, because we don't have
J	I

1	any training on the class rules. I mean Does that
2	make sense?
3	MR. YOUNG: Yes, it would.
4	LCDR Do you mind if I ask
5	something to help?
6	MR. YOUNG: Sure.
7	LCDR Okay. This is Lieutenant
8	Commander from the Coast Guard. So with ACP
9	what's your understanding of how we make sure with the
10	ACP program that we're getting similar coverage to a
11	vessel that is under Subchapter F and Subchapter I?
12	CWO Correct, right. So we
13	basically give our authority to do the inspection to
14	class. They do the inspection and what that does for
15	the vessel is it eliminates the redundancy of class
16	doing their exam and us doing our inspection.
17	So the program was designed so that there is
18	an equivalent level of safety built into the program
19	between the class's roles, solus, and the supplement as
20	far as the regulations, so that is equivalent. I lost
21	my train of thought.
22	LCDR So maybe I'll help you out
23	here.
24	CWO Yes, please.
25	LCDR So you mentioned the
l	

1 supplement --2 CWO Right. 3 -- does the supplement LCDR 4 generally serve a purpose to --5 Right. So the supplement, if CWO there is anything lacking in the equivalency of safety 6 7 between class and solus and the regulations then the 8 supplement is supposed to fill that gap. 9 But, you know, we have found through over the years that there are --10 11 LCDR Sure. 12 CWO And that was the decision made 13 by whoever put the program together. We have had 14 things come up. It just came up again for the third 15 time with our new construction oversight, which the 16 vessels are ACP that they have building. 17 The regulations say fire hoses on the 18 exterior of the ship have to be hooked up, but that's the only place it is, is in the regulations. 19 20 that wasn't covered in the supplement so an ACP vessel 2.1 can have the fire hoses not hooked up and still be 22 perfectly fine. 23 And we also found an issue with steering I 24 think where the regulations in Subchapter J,

Electrical, say the breaker, I believe, has to be an

1 instantaneous trip breaker, but class rules say the 2 opposite, it says it shouldn't be an instantaneous trip 3 breaker. 4 And the regulations were written like that 5 for a reason, but that's not -- A non-ACP vessel would 6 have an instantaneous trip breaker, a non-ACP vessel 7 would have a non-instantaneous trip breaker and they'd 8 both be fully compliant. 9 This is Lieutenant LCDR 10 from the Coast Guard again. Commander So if 11 you find gaps like that out in the field where you 12 maybe see something that seems unsafe --13 Right. CWO14 LCDR -- do you have any idea 15 what you could do to maybe help the ACP program address 16 it? 17 Well in those particular CWO Yes. 18 instances we have contacted the, at the time the ACP 19 officer and there is I believe a Federal Register that 20 has some things that are, I forget the title, but they 2.1 are critical safety items or something like that that 22 have to be complied with. 23 But otherwise it usually falls back on that that was evaluated at the time and that's the decision 24 25 that was made and --

1	LCDR So how is this supplement
2	developed, like who puts that together?
3	CWO I don't know who puts it
4	together. Headquarters will put it together and there
5	would be a gap analysis between what the regulations
6	say and what the ABS rules and supplement would say.
7	MR. YOUNG: This is Brian Young again with
8	the NTSB. My last question It disappeared. I'm
9	going to have to come back to it, but just wrap-up
10	questions I'll head to Tom, sorry.
11	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Tom Roth-Roffy, NTSB. I
12	believe you stated that you don't get any training on
13	class rules, right, so is that a, did I capture that
14	correctly?
15	CWO Essentially that's correct, but
16	with that being said for the machinery qual there is a
17	certain part of the regulations that refer, I think
18	it's Part 42 for automation, would refer to
19	MALE PARTICIPANT: Sixty-two.
20	CWO Sixty-two, okay. Well Part 62
21	in the class rules and I think, or 4-2 I think in ABS
22	rules, so certain parts of the regulations you have to
23	refer to ABS rules, but essentially no, there is If
24	you are doing an ACP exam we don't, other than having
25	maybe access to ACPs, or class rules, and there's no

and the online course you have to dig into the class rules at times to get through the course, certain sections, or you're supposed to read certain sections. But there is no formal training or there is no quarantee you are actually going to have a set of ABS rules even in your office. So you mentioned online LT. training, what did you mean by that? So like the steam course has an online course, the machinery course also has an online course. And I believe somebody in the LT. room stated that your task as part of the ACP exam is to verify that the certificates are correctly issued, correct, and is that the extent of the way you validate that the vessel is in compliance with the safety regulations of the U.S. Coast Guard, that the vessel is safe you just verify that the ABS certificates are, principally that they are validated? So we would go onboard and we'd check the certificates and then we're doing our regular And, you know, with ABS I have found before, like I went onboard one ship and the incinerator wasn't working and ABS said just been there maybe a week or two before and, you know, how is this possible, and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

1 then I'd talk to the chief engineer and he was like 2 well they never checked that. 3 So there is certain things that we check 4 that maybe if a class surveyor is not really up to 5 speed on ACP might not check and then we can follow up 6 with that, but I mean as long as we go onboard and we 7 find out everything is okay by our ACP exam in 8 accordance with our ACP book then that indicates that -9 10 I believe you already stated LT. 11 that that's a very high level inspection, it doesn't 12 really go into the details that perhaps an ABS surveyor 13 would. 14 Right. CWO Referring to the ACP 840 book? 15 LT. 16 CWO Correct, correct. 17 And I believe you have also LT. 18 stated that you have no training or high level 19 knowledge of the ABS class rules. 20 CWO Right. 21 So do you see any problem with LT. 22 that scenario where, you know, you are checking 23 documents and you --24 CWO Yes. So it is very possible 25 going into the detail, into the weeds of it, it's very

1	possible that we walk off the boat and it does not
2	comply with Coast Guard regulations, you know, but that
3	is the way the program was originally designed.
4	LT. And, also, there was some
5	discussion about differences between regulations and
6	class rules and it's offset, actually I believe it's
7	called a supplement.
8	CWO Yes.
9	LT. But in some cases here you said
10	that, you know, it's okay, the Coast Guard requires
11	certain things like connection of the hoses
12	CWO Correct.
13	LT the class rules or not, but
14	you're saying it's still okay even though the Coast
15	Guard requires it, but only because it's not identified
16	in a supplement that it becomes okay?
17	CWO Correct.
18	LT. Does that make sense to you?
19	CWO Personally I guess it makes
20	sense in the fact that somebody looked at that and
21	decided that that was an acceptable, there was an
22	acceptable There was other things in the ABS rules
23	that made up for that I guess. That's how I understand
24	it.
25	LT. Okay.

1	CWO CWO I haven't dug into it to do a
2	complete gap analysis that I don't know if I can
3	answer that.
4	LT. So is there a mechanism, formal
5	or informal, for you to provide that kind of feedback
6	about areas that you see these differences and what
7	the, you know, how you are supposed to interpret the
8	differences between the Coast Guard regs and the class
9	rules when you do a, for example, an ACP inspection?
10	CWO The only mechanism would be to
11	bring it up through the chain of command.
12	LT. Okay. Have you ever had
13	occasion to do that?
14	CWO I I have. We did a lot of
15	construction with the new construction and we talked to
16	the ACP officer quite frequently at the time. My
17	understanding is right now there is not an ACP officer,
18	so
19	MALE PARTICIPANT: Not allowed to
20	(inaudible)
21	LT. This is an informal interview.
22	LCDR Okay. This Lieutenant
23	Commander from the Coast Guard. There used
24	to be a lorax (phonetic) position that's been
25	 eliminated.

There is still a lieutenant at headquarters
that does the job that (phonetic) used to
do, it's now (phonetic), so we are
tracking the ACP program but it's done a at a lower
level. It used to be a dedicated Commander position.
MALE PARTICIPANT: Okay.
LT. And just to go back to the
competence or proficiency of the engineers, in
particular the chief engineer. Did you have an
opportunity to work with both permanent chief engineers
on the vessel or did you work with one only, and do you
recall which one that was?
CWO This is on the El Faro?
LT. Yes, I'm sorry, El Faro.
CWO The chief engineer, to the best
of my recollection, was James Robinson.
LT. Okay. Thank you very much,
that's all I have.
MR. YOUNG: This is Brian Young. One last
question before we go to the phone. I remembered
before. With the ACP inspection of a steam ship, you're
not required to look into the boiler safeties and the
boiler shut downs and the automation for the boiler.
How do you know that that has been completed?
CWO You don't. Your exam, I guess

1	the intent of the exam is to validate that ABS was on
2	board. So if you don't find anything, it's basically a
3	spot check to look at things. And if everything looks
4	okay, you kind of assume that ABS has done their due
5	diligence.
6	MR. YOUNG: And are you aware that ABS is
7	required to test each and every automated shut down or
8	alarm?
9	CWO I don't know exactly what ABS
10	has in their system as far as what they track to make
11	sure what they check. I don't know that for a fact. I
12	know they check things. I don't know exactly, I don't
13	know exactly.
14	MR. YOUNG: Okay, thank you. We'll go to
15	the phone. from Coast Guard?
16	LCDR No further questions.
17	MR. YOUNG: Lou, ABS?
18	MR. O'DONNELL: Yes, Louie O'Donnell, ABS.
19	
20	CWO Yes.
21	MR. O'DONNELL: Just a couple things I would
22	like to clarify.
23	CWO Sure.
24	MR. O'DONNELL: You know, some of the things
25	you say the differences between ACD and let's say

1 straight up full Coast Guard inspection. 2 CWO Right. 3 I mean, a lot of the items MR. O'DONNELL: that you might question or address, would you normally 4 5 be able to refer to the supplement and see if those are 6 covered in the supplement whether they're covered by 7 ABS rules or IMO requirements? 8 I mean, are you guys trained to refer to the 9 supplement for that case? I use, like, the fire hose 10 is not being connected on an ACP vessel. I mean, do 11 you normally go on foreign vessels doing port state 12 boardings that you're qualified for and find the fire 13 hose is connected? 14 Well, I mean, the foreign, make CWO 15 sure I have your question worded correctly. But would 16 a foreign ship and an ACP ship be the same, and the 17 answer is yes. And as far as the supplement goes --18 MR. O'DONNELL: Okay, yes go ahead, go 19 ahead. 20 As far as the supplement goes, 21 I don't know that we get any specific training on the 22 I'm aware of the supplement because I've supplement. 23 had to use it before. 24 But with that being said, there's several 25 versions of supplements and, you know, what supplement

1	you would use would depend on what rules the ship was
2	built under or classed under I guess. So that kind of
3	thing would vary.
4	MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. Yes, you know, you
5	said you compared ACP oversight to, like I said, a port
6	state boarding whether it's a tanker, foreign vessel
7	CWO Correct.
8	MR. O'DONNELL: cargo vessel, you know,
9	and another thing I possibly heard you say and correct
10	me if I'm wrong, you said you guys don't review the ABS
11	certificates because you wouldn't know how to review
12	them. But I find that rather ironic if you guys are
13	doing port state boardings, most of the classification
14	certificates are all based on IMO, let's say the word
15	I'm trying to use, the document's very similar.
16	They may have a little bit different header,
17	it might say AMBABSLR (phonetic) or whatever. But the
18	format is the same because it's the IMO prescribed
19	format. So is reviewing and search is something you do
20	when you guys certificate
21	(Simultaneous speaking)
22	CWO No, absolutely. Absolutely we
23	review the certificates. We're on a different page
24	there. Yes, we definitely review the certificates.
25	MR. O'DONNELL: Maybe I misunderstood what

you said. Okay, I just wanted to clarify that. And during your ACP inspections, and I think you mentioned this a couple times, if you were doing an oversight exam on a vessel and, you know, you know the ABS surveyors in your area or the ABS surveyor in your area whether you're in San Juan, Philadelphia, whatever, I mean, do you know that any time if you have concerns of inspections that may have been done in that area, even if they weren't done in that area or surveys that weren't done in that area, that you can call the local surveyor and say hey, we're on doing oversight. We would like you to come down and look at this.

I mean, is that something that they tell MIs that it's kind of a what I think maybe one of the unkowns in the ACP process. But the Coast Guard inspector when doing an oversight reserves the right any time to call out an ABS surveyor if they want something clarified. Are you aware of that?

Absolutely. I'm with you 100 percent on that. And that's, you know, in this particular case with the steering, that's what I did is I called the ABS surveyor. And that's the way I understand the policy, that's the way I was taught, that's the way I do it.

If there's an issue with the vessel, we

would contact the ABS surveyor. And I think the policy tells you to do that unless it's something, you know, egregious where there's a really huge issue. Then you could go with the A-35 control action or whatever. typically it says to notify the class surveyor and have him work it out. MR. O'DONNELL: Okay. CWO So we're on the same page there. MR. O'DONNELL: And then overall in your experience, you know, you're a well experienced inspector, what's been your overall, I mean, just reaction working with ABS surveyors in ACP? And maybe the working or learning with ABS surveyors and doing oversight and stuff on ACP, what's your overall opinion of that? I quess my opinion, I think ABS CWO does some things really well and I think the Coast Guard does some things really well. But I think maybe they're different things, you know, as far as structures go. I think ABS is way far above us. know, Coast Guard, MSC, stability is one of the things they do really well. I think when we have people that are really

up on boilers, that might be a strong point just based

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 on the history of the whole thing, the Coast Guard's 2 But overall, I mean, a lot of what I've learned 3 I've learned from ABS surveyors. 4 I probably learned the most from Trigger 5 Burke (phonetic), one of the ABS surveyors. Unfortunately no longer with us. 6 But we have done 7 quite a bit of new construction and worked with ABS 8 I learned a lot from them. 9 I think there were times when we, you know, 10 the way we work is we work through our chain of command 11 and I would bring things back. And their boss would 12 educate their surveyors on ACP a little bit and get 13 them in the right direction. 14 But yes, I haven't had any huge problems. 15 And not from --16 (Simultaneous speaking) 17 I'm sorry? CWO 18 MR. O'DONNELL: I guess my overall question, 19 do you think that there is, do you think ACP works, 20 And if you think it works, do you think 2.1 there's always room for or opportunity for improvement 22 where we can improve, you know, ABS and Coast Guard can 23 improve the program. And do you feel that maybe more 24 input is needed from the field inspectors and surveyors

to improve the ACP program?

1	CWO In my opinion, through my
2	experience and talking to my colleagues, I think ACP
3	works for the owners. I think that the negative that
4	comes out of ACP is it kind of waters down the Coast
5	Guard inspector's knowledge.
6	There's a huge difference between doing an
7	ACP inspection and doing a full on Coast Guard
8	inspection. And when the further and further we go
9	along, the less and less Coast Guard inspectors are
10	going to know.
11	And I had another point I was trying to make
12	and it escapes me at this second. But I think that's a
13	negative is we would be much better inspectors if we
14	were doing ACP.
15	But with that being said, there's a
16	redundancy that the owner or operator, or the mariner
17	is going to see which could be good or bad I guess,
18	depending on how you look at it. So
19	MR. O'DONNELL: Do you think the ACP could
20	be improved if there was more feedback from the field
21	from senior inspectors and
22	(Simultaneous speaking)
23	CWO So I think what could be
24	improved is us, and I'm one little guy here. But
25	MR. O'DONNELL: No, just your opinion.
ı	ı

1 CWO Right. So in my opinion, I do 2 know because he told us that a traveler at one time 3 came through and they had to staff the Coast Guard and figure out how many people we need. 4 5 So what they did is look at how many 6 inspections were being done and then figured out how 7 long it would take to drive to those inspections and 8 figured out those inspections were X amount of man-9 hours. And I don't think we were doing a lot of 10 oversight of ACP other than our annuals in the form of 11 attending the inspections with the surveyor. 12 So I don't think those man-hours were ever 13 calculated into the way we're staffed. With that being 14 said, it's kind of a double edged sword because if we 15 add more people, that's, you know, that dilutes the 16 amount of people that do exams. 17 So maybe, you know, I'm on the spot here. 18 But if part of the training program had attending X 19 amount of ACP exams with a surveyor, maybe that's an 20 answer to get people where they need to be without, you 2.1 know, messing with the program the way it is and, you 22 know, helps the product. 23 MR. O'DONNELL: So maybe more joint 24 inspection, joint work together under ACP. Is that 25 what you're kind of saying?

1	CWO No, because I think when you do
2	joint inspection, what kind of tends to happen then is
3	you go that way and I'll go this way and we'll compare
4	notes at the end.
5	But I think what I'm saying is if the, you
6	know, because we have a apprentices and when
7	apprentices are in the training mode, that they get out
8	there in the field more with ABS.
9	Maybe even go to a, dedicated with the ABS
10	surveyor for a certain amount of time and get those
11	surveys under their belt so they're seeing the things
12	that they aren't seeing otherwise and get that base
13	knowledge in.
14	MR. O'DONNELL: Okay, very good. Thank you
15	very much.
16	CWO Just my opinion.
17	MR. O'DONNELL: I have no further questions.
18	Thank you,
19	CWO You're welcome.
20	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Lou. And from TOTE,
21	Jim or Lee?
22	MR. FISKER-ANDERSEN: Yes, Jim Fisker-
23	Andersen. Mr. looking back to your, the day
24	that you did the inspection on the El Faro, when you
25	and your team, to your best recollection, left the

1 ship, was there any hesitation that there was anything 2 that was outstanding? Oh, I should have written an 835 3 on that? 4 CWO No. 5 MR. FISKER-ANDERSEN: Or any concerns that 6 you guys had? 7 Other than the steering, CWO No. 8 and the only, I was not the lead so it wasn't my exam, 9 my inspection. I, you know, made the recommendation to 10 contact ABS and they said go ahead. And if it were me, 11 I would have stuck around until ABS got on scene to 12 show them what the problem was. 13 But I don't know why it seems there was, I 14 don't want to say we were in a hurry to get off, but I 15 think we had been there as long as we needed to be was 16 the consensus and it was time to go. 17 With that being said, the only reason I 18 would have done that was so I could say ABS surveyor, 19 this is what I'm seeing because I didn't want the ABS 20 surveyor to come up and say oh, everything looks fine. 21 And the way it worked out, he did see what I saw. We 22 spoke about it, so it worked out just fine. 23 So to answer your question, no. There were 24 no issues as far as I was concerned, and there was no 25 discussions as we had any questions walking off of

1 there. 2 MR. FISKER-ANDERSEN: Yes, thank you very 3 much. You're welcome. 4 CWO 5 MR. FISKER-ANDERSEN: No further questions 6 from TOTE. 7 MR. YOUNG: Yes, any questions from anybody 8 in the room for final wrap up? And it appears that Tom 9 has a question. 10 Just a question or MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Yes. 11 two to follow up. I know Brian is quite hungry for 12 lunch. I would like to just revisit the comment you made about the improvements that could be made in the 13 14 ACRP (phonetic). 15 And you made some comment about the Coast 16 Guard being notified of ABS surveys and the invitation 17 to participate. And I believe you said that perhaps 18 you could have done more. Do you have an idea, an 19 estimate of what percentage of those surveys that you 20 were notified of that you were actually able to attend? 2.1 My experience is probably close CWO 22 to zero. The times that, I'm certain it happens and 23 Probably when it does happen is I'm not aware of it. 24 when there's somebody maybe new who needs some type of 25 training, and they're really not doing anything else.

1 You know, we tend to get busy with just 2 doing our job and then the extra things that get thrown 3 on from time to time as far as being in the Coast Guard 4 tends to, you know, keep you occupied. So it's 5 probably very small. 6 MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay, and then going back 7 to the previous discussion about, you know, Coast Guard 8 role in overseeing the ABS and performance of the 9 safety certification of the vessel, doing your ACP 10 exam, it's kind of limited to just the document check 11 and just high level spot checks. 12 Do you think perhaps, you know, additional 13 participation and other machinery surveys and other 14 surveys that happen throughout the year would help to 15 give Coast Guard some higher level of assurance that 16 ABS is doing their job. 17 I think it would be helpful, MR. 18 not only for that fact but the fact we could probably teach the surveyors a few things. Like I said, we do 19 20 things a little differently than the typical survey on 2.1 a foreign ship. And you know, I think we could get 22 take a working together kind of approach to make it 23 better. 24 So in your view, it's MR. ROTH-ROFFY:

primarily a resource issue that prevents you from doing

1	that?
2	MR. I would say typically, it's
3	typically short notice and then resources to follow up
4	on that.
5	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: Okay, thank you very much.
6	LCDR Lieutenant Commander
7	from the Coast Guard. Just one more quick
8	follow up. So who does ABS serve on behalf of with
9	regard to the ACP program?
LO	MR. Who does ABS is basically
11	granted our Coast Guard authority to do the inspection.
12	LCDR So who pays ABS though?
13	MR. The owner would pay the fees,
L4	whatever ABS charges to be enrolled.
15	LCDR In the bull pen in San
L6	Juan or in Philadelphia, have you ever had
L7	conversations about that particular relationship and
L8	whether that can cause issues?
L9	MR. Yes. I'm a firm believer in
20	follow the money, personally. There's that
21	conversation always comes up. So does the one that the
22	admiral who signed the ACP policy went to work for ABS.
23	But you know, I think the other thing we have is
24	there's an enhanced level of safety you can't
25	understate in the fact that we had one vessel go out of

ACP because it was cheaper for them to not, they didn't need the international certificates.

So there's, in some ways ACP may increase the level of safety because a ship that doesn't trade internationally is required to have a higher level of safety than they have if they're just non-ACP and on a domestic route.

So you know, you could go all different sorts of ways with that. I don't know what the right answer is. There's kinds of talk. I think the only negative in reality that's really has some basis to it is if the Coast Guard's not doing full blown machinery and hull exams, they're not getting that proficiency, they're not seeing things.

Just like a doctor. If a doctor sees a bunch of guys that are 50 years old and they all have the same kind of problems and you see somebody that's 80 years old might miss something. And if you're looking at a bunch of foreign ships and you're just seeing little pieces and then you get a full blown US deep draft, you may miss something because you're not proficient, you're not doing it all the time.

I think that's the negative from the Coast Guard's perspective. But, you know, with that being said, I was told by someone from a shipyard, working at

1 a shipyard that they like ACP. And the reason for 2 that, and I know there was a study that came out 3 recently about ACP and the difference in it and I haven't read it, I don't know what it says. 4 5 But what the project manager at the shipyard 6 told me was they like ACP because if they had to build 7 something to Coast Guard standards, they couldn't 8 compete with the builders of building foreign ships. 9 So they can get an off the shelf plan from a 10 foreign shipyard and build a ship equivelant to what 11 the foreign ships that are coming here are and be 12 competitive. So there's pluses and minuses. I'm by no 13 I see a little piece of the big means an expert. 14 picture and that's kind of what I've heard and my 15 opinions. 16 Just a couple more LCDR 17 questions on that. So if an ABS surveyor and a Coast 18 Guard marine inspector both see the same item, a no-19 sail type item, do they react the same way? 20 Could you say that question MR. 21 again, I'm sorry. 22 LCDR Let's give a specific 23 example. 24 Sure. MR. 25 LCDR If a Coast Guard inspector

1 sees lifeboat davit issues where the lifeboat might 2 have trouble launching, and an ABS surveyor and a Coast 3 Guard marine inspector see the same thing that's on separate occasions, they're not together, do they react 4 5 the same way? 6 I think that would depend on 7 the individual. I think they would -- I think the --8 that's a judgement. And I think you may restate the 9 question, but if somebody looks at a davit and maybe 10 there's some wastage on it or something, does one 11 person who may be an ABS surveyor look at it and say 12 yes, that's acceptable and one person who's a Coast 13 Guard inspector look at it and say that's not 14 acceptable? 15 I can definitely see that happening. And I 16 think in a lot of the cases, the reason the Coast Guard 17 inspector says it's not acceptable is because they 18 don't have the experience that the ABS surveyor has. 19 And the ABS surveyor may have said oh, I've 20 seen much worse than that and it's been fine where the 2.1 Coast Guard inspectors may have never seen a ship 22 before. 23 And I mean, obviously they've seen a ship 24 but they're pretty new at the whole industry. And they

say oh my God, that's bad. So I mean, maybe that's a

1	good thing in the scope of things, but it's maybe not
2	the best thing overall.
3	So I've seen that, and I've seen ABS
4	inspectors where a guy may have had some sailing time
5	and said oh, that happens all the time and ABS
6	inspectors who may have come straight from school and
7	gone right to being a surveyor and said oh my God,
8	that's not good. So I think it depends.
9	LCDR Have you ever had an ABS
10	surveyor recommend to you or your supervisors to revoke
11	certificates or no-sail a ship?
12	MR. Have I had an ABS surveyor
13	recommend to revoke
14	LCDR Revoke a certificate or
15	prevent a ship from sailing?
16	MR. No. But I have seen surveyors
17	after the fact say this ship, look out for this or this
18	ship is doing that, things like that when they were no
19	longer within their class.
20	And I've had ABS inspectors, you know, I've
21	definitely seen ABS inspectors take the hard line.
22	Trigger Burke, like I said, God rest his soul, watching
23	him do a boat, I mean, my God. Everything have better
24	have been perfect when that went.
25	But I've also heard stories where ABS

1	inspectors may have been like he never even opened that
2	hatch or whatever. So I think a lot of it is
3	experience and based on a comfort level and knowing the
4	players involved. But yes. To answer the question,
5	I've never seen a
6	LCDR So you've never seen,
7	you've never had an actual recommendation to do a no-
8	sail or revoke a certificate. But have Coast Guard
9	marine inspectors during that same period had to do
10	that on an ACP boat?
11	MR. Yes. I've never, ACP, not to
12	my knowledge. I know things like that have happened.
13	I know there's obviously safety, the messages come out
14	for reasons. But I don't know the details specific.
15	I know we've issued deficiencies to ACP
16	vessels and they've been looked at closely. But I
17	don't know of any that have been kicked out of the
18	program, anything like that.
19	LCDR Okay, thank you. That's
20	all.
21	MR. YOUNG: This is Brian Young again with
22	the NTSB. Is there anything you felt we haven't asked
23	you that pertains to this case?
24	the NTSB. Is there anything you felt we haven't asked you that pertains to this case? MR. No. The only thing that comes to mind, and I watched what I could of the testimony in
25	to mind, and I watched what I could of the testimony in
I	I

Jacksonville. And I know one thing had come up, and I don't really understand what the point was. But I might have a little bit of knowledge that can maybe expand on it.

And that was with the El Yunque and the lifeboat davit, and you know, was the lead on that. And I do recall it was, I think the outboard side lifeboat. And made a, or raised the point that a pin in the lifeboat handling gear may have been wasted.

And he asked me, well he actually busted his head open pretty bad. The lifeboats are open lifeboats as we all know. And going up the ladder they extend beyond the platform for you to have something to hold onto as you're going on to the lifeboat.

And he had taken his hard hat off to go up the ladder to look at this pin and smashed his head on one of the rungs and his head opened. But he asked me to look at the pin.

And I looked at it and, you know, I working on a couple degrees but one right now is a manufacturing and building these machining parts. And I didn't see any problem with the pin. There was a little surface rust on the outside, there was no problem. I think he had told me he had heard about a

1 problem or known about a problem previously, and that's 2 kind of what got him to look at that pin. 3 Stacey also has a masters degree in 4 engineering. She looked at it and she said it looks 5 And I think it was the captain, maybe one of the fine. 6 engineers looked at it and they said, you know, we 7 don't see anything wrong. But if you want us to look 8 at it further, we'll do that. 9 And apparently what I got from feedback, 10 learned a bit, was they did take it apart and they did 11 find some serious wastage on it. But it kind of 12 sounded like there was this big problem with the davit 13 and from my perspective that wasn't the case. 14 MR. YOUNG: Great. Well, we appreciate your 15 time. And it's 12:07, we're going to stop the 16 recording. But thank you very much for coming here. 17 And we'll give you our contact information should we 18 continue to have any other questions. 19 MR. Sure. 20 MR. YOUNG: And then, you know, maybe 21 reaching out to you again. 22 MR. Sure. 23 But we appreciate everybody's MR. YOUNG: 24 And on the phone, just one final, is everybody time. 25 Are there any final questions? Sorry, forgot

1	to check.
2	MR PETERSON: Thank you from TOTE. We
3	appreciate it.
4	MR. YOUNG: Thanks, Lee.
5	MR. O'DONNELL: Thank you from ABS and thank
6	you for your time. No further questions.
7	MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Lou. And thank you,
8	All right, we're going to conclude the
9	recording.
10	(Whereupon, the interview in the above-
11	entitled matter was concluded at 12:07 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE

MATTER: El Faro Incident October 1, 2015

Accident No. DCA16MM001
Interview of CWO

DATE: 04-14-16

I hereby certify that the attached transcription of page 1 to 120 inclusive are to the best of my professional ability a true, accurate, and complete record of the above referenced proceedings as contained on the provided audio recording; further that I am neither counsel for, nor related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this action in which this proceeding has taken place; and further that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

NEAL R. GROSS



Office of Marine Safety Transcript Errata

Matter: El Faro		
Ref Nbr: DCA16MM	001	
Dear Sir:		
Enclosed wi	th this letter is a copy of the transcript of interview for	CWO taken
on 4/14/2016. Kind	ly review this transcript for accuracy and provide corre	ctions if any in the attached
table.	, and provide corre	ctions, if any, in the attached
Thank you ir	advance for your attention to this matter.	
•	and the four determining to this matter.	
4/25/2016		Brian Young
	Major	Marine Accident Investigator

Interview of CWO TAKEN ON

4/14/2016

PAGE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	CURRENT WORDING	CORRECTED WORDING
1		LCDF	CDR
4	11		
4	19	Horizon, Navigator	Horizon Navigator
4	24	Horizon, Navigator's	Horizon Navigator's
5	13	when in was in	when I was in
5	21	SUNY course diesel steam automation course	SUNY course, Diesel Steam Automation Course
5	22	The Coast Guard, part of the steam qual	The Coast Guard part of the steam qual
6	5	took a thermo one. College level.	took Thermo – One, College Level.
6	6-9	Intended meaning of this remark: This summarizes the training and qualifications CWO completed prior to his inspection of the EL FARO in March 2015.	
6	13	In addition to this,	In addition to this (the online course)
6	15	MR. YOUNG	LT
6	18 - 21	Two items that are kind of no- brainers, and that was taking the online course and also completing the machinery course. Completing the machinery qual, which I had.	Two items that (I asked him to sign off) are kind of no-brainers, and that was taking the online course and also completing the machinery course, or completing the machinery qual, which had.
7	2	Presented that to the	I presented that to the
7	7	Marines	marine ins-
8	2	Anne hydro (indiscernible)	anhydrous ammonia
8	3-4	I had to go through the pressure vessels	I had to go to the pressure vessels
10	2	AKUR (phonetic)	Aker (Philadelphia Shipyard)
11	12	MR. YOUNG	LT
12	9	didn't	did the
12	14	I can't be for sure, was said	I can't be for sure, what
13	2	No, it's plural.	No, it's plural (referring to handwritten notes indicating PTSP's, not PTSP 5).
14	4	non-ECP	non-ACP
15	6	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:	CWO
15	9	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:	LT J.
16	2	MSD	MST
16	21	solus (phonetic)	SOLAS

PAGE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	CURRENT WORDING	CORRECTED WORDING
16	24	solus class rules	SOLAS and class rules
17	4	solus	SOLAS
17	24	closest I've been is working	closest (to any ABS training) I've been is
		with ABS surveyors in the field.	working with ABS surveyors in the field.
18	16	Training Office	Training Officer.
23	18	LCDR	CDI
25	1	PSTP	PTSP
25	4	PSTPs	PTSPs
26	2	you had had	you and had
27	2	I was one.	I was on.
27	5	(phonetic)	
27	8	(phonetic)	
27	10		
27	11	had a master's degree in ocean engineer	had a master's degree in ocean engineering
27	14	Mobil	Mobile
28	18	coach and then another coach	couch and then another couch
28	20	Commande	Commander
	20	, Commander Marking and	Commander and
29	8		
29	11	And I sent to her, call CGIS.	And I sent to her, "Call CGIS?"
29	22	I sent another text, should	I sent another text, "Should probably
	\$10 - 410 - 200.	probably ask the captain.	ask the captain"
30	1	it said, duty engineer.	it said, "Duty Engineer?"
30	6	, ,	it suita, buty Eligineer.
30	7		
30	18	walked back to the thing	walked back to the thing (table),
30	23	kind of what the heck is going on.	"Captain, what the heck is going on?"
31	15	order record book	oil record book
31	23	engineer	engine
33	8	they went out, I heard one	they went out (on a cruise ship), I heard one
33	13	typically we see buckets	typically, when we see buckets,
35	5-6	gyro repeater, instructions for	gyro repeater, instructions for steering
		steering.	(power failure alarm).
36	2	auging (phonetic)	Hogging
36	12	shift alley	shaft alley
36	16-19	In 90 percent of the ships I	90 percent of the ships (I inspect) I
		think are all the Korean built	think are all the Korean built foreign
		foreign freight ships. They're	freight ships. They're all kind of the
		all kind of the same. So I kind	same. So I kind of go with that (model

PAGE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	CURRENT WORDING	CORRECTED WORDING
		of go with that and then	for sequence) and then adapt it as need
		adapt it as need be.	be. SOLAS
37	7	solus	25 SS 188 189 189 199 199 199 199 199 199 199
38	13	so we go through them, that's the way it is.	"So we go through them, that's the way it is."
38	17	examine	exam
39	17	but I did notice I the MISLE	but I did notice in the MISLE
39	23	remove	reviewed
40	23	MR. ROTH-ROFFY	LCDR
41	1	MR. ROTH-ROFFY	LCDR
41	5	COI that was or something, exam or something was overdue.	COI or exam or something that was overdue.
41	17	practiced	practice
41	22	within the bullpen	within the bullpen (in Philadelphia)
42	23	I looked under and	I looked under (in the 840 book) and
43	8	conditions or class	conditions of class
43	20	that's	there's
43	24	look in an ACP vessel	look at an ACP vessel
44	11	in other place	in other places
45	13	And the way it worked out is, the first, they said before Stacy	And the way it worked out is, the first ACID before Stacey
46	5	Lloyd	Lloyd's
47	10		
47	13		
47	15		
47	19		
48	12	then there probably	and there's probably
48	17	Their lakers	they're lakers (steam powered ships)
49	9	he was like okay, and he's like I don't mind going out to those, but the Horizon ships always scare me.	he was like "okay," and he's like "I don't mind going out to those, but the Horizon ships always scare me."
49	12	Horizon	Horizon (Sea Star)
49	25	Horizon producer	Horizon Producer
53	18	on port	in port
53	19	on port	in port
55	12		
55	16		
55	25	(simultaneous speaking)	MR. ROTH-ROFFY: The CID and the ACID?
56	2	abnormal. I think	abnormal. (pause) I think
56	11		

PAGE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	CURRENT WORDING	CORRECTED WORDING
57	. 9	(inaudible)	Condition of the
57	_ 13	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY
57-58	25 - 3	Typically nothing, no cargo goes into San Juan, very little, it all comes out of San Juan and empties come back, for the most part, there is some minor cargo	Typically nothing, no cargo goes out of San Juan, very little, it all goes into San Juan and empties come back, for the most part, there is some minor cargo.
59	20-21	a whistleblower I guess onboard – I can get in trouble saying this, but somebody had reported water in the cargo holds.	a whistleblower I guess onboard – can get in trouble saying this? But somebody had reported water in the cargo holds.
60	5-8	it wasn't as nice compared to going to the SeaStar and everything was just kept like a classic car almost is kind of what it looked like.	it wasn't as nice compared to going to the SeaStar. Everything (on SeaStar) was just kept like a classic car almost is kind of what it looked like.
60	10	seal line	COI
61	9	next board	next port
61	20	the ones that I was one	the ones that I was on
63	3		
63	7		
63	8		
65	21	L&G	LNG
67	6	survey for	survey four
69	4	MALE PARTICIPANT	cwo
74	7	two backs	two banks
74	9	El Faro	El Faro (El Yunque)
74	22	solid 60	SOLAS 60
80	24	Port State Control prior Commander and he was like what are doing	Port State Control (Officer), prior Commander, and he was like when you are doing
81	1	first five minutes of you exam you decide	first five minutes of your exam, you decide
83	5	(inaudible)	billeted
86	3	to that exempt.	to do that exam.
86	9	ACCR (phonetic)	Aker (Philadelphia Shipyard)
89	3	ACP boat and, you know, most of the sites are typically solus	ACP book and, you know most of the cites are typically SOLAS.
89	18	something solus	something in SOLAS
89	24	(inaudible)	the class
90	19	class's roles, solus,	class's rules, SOLAS,
91	7	solus	SOLAS

PAGE NUMBER	LINE NUMBER	CURRENT WORDING	CORRECTED WORDING
92	6	have an instantaneous strip	have an instantaneous strip breaker, an
11		breaker, a non-ACP vessel	ACP vessel would have a non-
		would have a non-	instantaneous trip breaker
		instantaneous trip breaker	
93	19	MALE PARTICIPANT:	LCDR \
93	25	maybe access to ACPs, or class	maybe access to ACP (Supplements), or
		r <u>ules</u>	class rules
94	7	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
94	12	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
94	24	and ABS said just been there	and ABS had just been there
95	10	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
95	15	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
95	17	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
95	21	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
96	4	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
96	9	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
96	13	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
96	18	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
96	25	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
97	4	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
97	12	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
97	21	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
97	24	lorax (phonetic)	LORACS (Liaison Office for Recognized
		1000	and Authorized Classification Societies)
98	7	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
98	14	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
98	17	LT.	MR. ROTH-ROFFY:
103	4	A-35	835
105	14	were doing ACP.	were not doing ACP.
109	14	ACRP (phonetic)	ACP

General Notes:

Page	Note		
3	In addition to the qualifications mentioned on Page 3, CWO also		
	has a drydock inspection qualification.		
85-86	A PSC freight exam usually takes about 3 hours. On average I would		
	estimate that an equivalent vessel's non-ACP inspection would take at		
	least 3 times (or more) as long.		
99	The intent of an ACP annual inspection is to evaluate crew performance		
	through observing fire, abandon ship, and security drills.		

If, to the best of your knowledge, no corrections are needed kindly circle the statement "no corrections needed" and initial in the space provided.

NO CORRECTIONS NEED.	
	Initials
Printed Name of Person pro	viding the above information
Signature of Person providir	og the above information

OMS Transcript Errata 5.27.15